

Entropies

Milán Mosonyi

Mathematical Institute, Budapest University of Technology and Economics

MTA-BME Lendület Quantum Information Theory Research Group

QIP 2019, Boulder, Colorado

- The whole of (quantum) Shannon theory could be presented under this title

- The whole of (quantum) Shannon theory could be presented under this title, but we won't do that.

- The whole of (quantum) Shannon theory could be presented under this title, but we won't do that.

See previous QIP tutorials by Aram Harrow (2016) and Patrick Hayden (2011), and the textbooks on the next slide.

- The whole of (quantum) Shannon theory could be presented under this title, but we won't do that.

See previous QIP tutorials by Aram Harrow (2016) and Patrick Hayden (2011), and the textbooks on the next slide.

- Tutorial aimed at students who have been less exposed to entropies (so far).

- The whole of (quantum) Shannon theory could be presented under this title, but we won't do that.

See previous QIP tutorials by Aram Harrow (2016) and Patrick Hayden (2011), and the textbooks on the next slide.

- Tutorial aimed at students who have been less exposed to entropies (so far).
- Big amount of material - plenty of exercises to help digest it.

- The whole of (quantum) Shannon theory could be presented under this title, but we won't do that.

See previous QIP tutorials by Aram Harrow (2016) and Patrick Hayden (2011), and the textbooks on the next slide.

- Tutorial aimed at students who have been less exposed to entropies (so far).
- Big amount of material - plenty of exercises to help digest it.
- Completely incomplete list of references, not necessarily to the original works - see the textbooks on the next slide for more detailed references.

Recommended reading

Masahito Hayashi: *Quantum Information Theory: Mathematical Foundation*, 2nd ed., Springer, 2017.

Dénes Petz: *Quantum Information Theory and Quantum Statistics*, Springer, 2008.

Marco Tomamichel: *Quantum Information Processing with Finite Resources*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics, 2016.

Mark M. Wilde: *Quantum Information Theory*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2017.

I. Prelude: Shannon entropy

Shannon entropy

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information gained by learning the outcome of a random event?

$x \in \mathcal{X}$ happens with probability $P(x)$

Shannon entropy

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information gained by learning the outcome of a random event?

$x \in \mathcal{X}$ happens with probability $P(x)$

- Define the **surprisal** of the outcome x as $f(P(x))$, where $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is monotone decreasing, $f(1) = 0$.

Shannon entropy

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information gained by learning the outcome of a random event?

$x \in \mathcal{X}$ happens with probability $P(x)$

- Define the **surprisal** of the outcome x as $f(P(x))$, where $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is monotone decreasing, $f(1) = 0$.

Average surprisal: $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) f(P(x))$.

Dual interpretation: **uncertainty** about the outcome before it happens.

Shannon entropy

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information gained by learning the outcome of a random event?

$x \in \mathcal{X}$ happens with probability $P(x)$

- Define the **surprisal** of the outcome x as $f(P(x))$, where $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is monotone decreasing, $f(1) = 0$.

Average surprisal: $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) f(P(x))$.

Dual interpretation: **uncertainty** about the outcome before it happens.

- Shannon: $f := -\log$

$$H(P) := - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \log P(x) \quad \text{Shannon entropy of } P.$$

- Why should we prefer this choice over others?

Classical source coding

- Assume we want to efficiently store the outcomes of many independent events with the same probability distribution P .

$$f_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \quad \text{encoding} \qquad g_n : \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n \quad \text{decoding}$$

- block coding, we allow a small error probability ε in the recovery

Classical source coding

- Assume we want to efficiently store the outcomes of many independent events with the same probability distribution P .

$$f_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \quad \text{encoding} \quad g_n : \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n \quad \text{decoding}$$

- block coding, we allow a small error probability ε in the recovery
- Theorem 1.** ([CsK, Theorem 1.1]) $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \min \{k_n : P^{\otimes n}(\{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : g_n(f_n(\underline{x})) \neq \underline{x}\}) \leq \varepsilon\} = H(P).$$

Shannon's fixed-length source coding theorem

Classical source coding

- Assume we want to efficiently store the outcomes of many independent events with the same probability distribution P .

$$f_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \text{ encoding} \quad g_n : \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n \text{ decoding}$$

- block coding, we allow a small error probability ε in the recovery
- Theorem 1.** ([CsK, Theorem 1.1]) $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \min \{k_n : P^{\otimes n}(\{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : g_n(f_n(\underline{x})) \neq \underline{x}\}) \leq \varepsilon\} = H(P).$$

Shannon's fixed-length source coding theorem

- Operational interpretation of the Shannon entropy

Classical source coding

- Assume we want to efficiently store the outcomes of many independent events with the same probability distribution P .

$$f_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \quad \text{encoding} \quad g_n : \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n \quad \text{decoding}$$

- block coding, we allow a small error probability ε in the recovery
- Theorem 1.** ([CsK, Theorem 1.1]) $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \min \{k_n : P^{\otimes n}(\{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : g_n(f_n(\underline{x})) \neq \underline{x}\}) \leq \varepsilon\} = H(P).$$

Shannon's fixed-length source coding theorem

- Operational interpretation** of the Shannon entropy
as the minimum number of bits/outcome needed to reliably store the outcomes in the asymptotics.

Classical source coding

- Assume we want to efficiently store the outcomes of many independent events with the same probability distribution P .

$$f_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \text{ encoding} \quad g_n : \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n \text{ decoding}$$

- block coding, we allow a small error probability ε in the recovery
- Theorem 1.** ([CsK, Theorem 1.1]) $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \min \{k_n : P^{\otimes n}(\{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : g_n(f_n(\underline{x})) \neq \underline{x}\}) \leq \varepsilon\} = H(P).$$

Shannon's fixed-length source coding theorem

- Operational interpretation of the Shannon entropy

Classical source coding

- Assume we want to efficiently store the outcomes of many independent events with the same probability distribution P .

$$f_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \quad \text{encoding} \quad g_n : \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n \quad \text{decoding}$$

- block coding, we allow a small error probability ε in the recovery
- Theorem 1.** ([CsK, Theorem 1.1]) $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \min \{k_n : P^{\otimes n}(\{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : g_n(f_n(\underline{x})) \neq \underline{x}\}) \leq \varepsilon\} = H(P).$$

Shannon's fixed-length source coding theorem

- Operational interpretation** of the Shannon entropy
minimum number of bits needed to achieve ε error: **operational quantity**

Classical source coding

- Assume we want to efficiently store the outcomes of many independent events with the same probability distribution P .

$$f_n : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \quad \text{encoding} \quad g_n : \{0, 1\}^{k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n \quad \text{decoding}$$

- block coding, we allow a small error probability ε in the recovery
- Theorem 1.** ([CsK, Theorem 1.1]) $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \min \{k_n : P^{\otimes n}(\{\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : g_n(f_n(\underline{x})) \neq \underline{x}\}) \leq \varepsilon\} = H(P).$$

Shannon's fixed-length source coding theorem

- Operational interpretation** of the Shannon entropy
minimum number of bits needed to achieve ε error: **operational quantity**
 $H(P)$: **entropic quantity**, or **information measure**

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.
- Are there operationally relevant measures of information, other than the Shannon entropy?

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.
- Are there operationally relevant measures of information, other than the Shannon entropy? Yes.

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.
- Are there operationally relevant measures of information, other than the Shannon entropy? Yes.
- Source coding with side information: $H(A|B)_P = H(P_{AB}) - H(P_B)$
conditional entropy.

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.
- Are there operationally relevant measures of information, other than the Shannon entropy? Yes.
- Source coding with side information: $H(A|B)_P = H(P_{AB}) - H(P_B)$
conditional entropy.
- Channel coding: $\sup_P \{H(P_A) + H(P_B) - H(P_{AB})\}$
mutual information.

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.
- Are there operationally relevant measures of information, other than the Shannon entropy? Yes.
- Source coding with side information: $H(A|B)_P = H(P_{AB}) - H(P_B)$
conditional entropy.
- Channel coding: $\sup_P \{H(P_A) + H(P_B) - H(P_{AB})\}$
mutual information.
- Error exponents: **Rényi entropies**.

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.
- Are there operationally relevant measures of information, other than the Shannon entropy? Yes.
- Source coding with side information: $H(A|B)_P = H(P_{AB}) - H(P_B)$
conditional entropy.
- Channel coding: $\sup_P \{H(P_A) + H(P_B) - H(P_{AB})\}$
mutual information.
- Error exponents: **Rényi entropies**.
- Aim of this talk: give an introduction to the most relevant ones

General picture

- Information theoretic problems have an **operational side** and an **entropic side**, and coding theorems connect the two, giving an **operational justification** to use the entropic quantities as information measures.
- Are there operationally relevant measures of information, other than the Shannon entropy? Yes.
- Source coding with side information: $H(A|B)_P = H(P_{AB}) - H(P_B)$
conditional entropy.
- Channel coding: $\sup_P \{H(P_A) + H(P_B) - H(P_{AB})\}$
mutual information.
- Error exponents: **Rényi entropies**.
- Aim of this talk: give an introduction to the most relevant ones in the quantum case.

- First part: Derive information measures from distance-like quantities on quantum states (divergences).

Explore general properties and a few simple examples.

- First part: Derive information measures from distance-like quantities on quantum states (divergences).

Explore general properties and a few simple examples.

- Second part: Focus on Rényi information measures and applications.

II. General divergences and simple examples

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- Notations:

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$: linear operators on \mathcal{H}

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$: self-adjoint linear operators on \mathcal{H}

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$: non-zero positive semidefinite (PSD) linear operators on \mathcal{H}

$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$: density operators (=states) on \mathcal{H}

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- Examples:

$$\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) := \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho - \sigma\|_1 \quad \text{trace norm distance}$$

$$\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) := F(\varrho\|\sigma) := \|\sqrt{\varrho}\sqrt{\sigma}\|_1 = \text{Tr} \sqrt{\sqrt{\varrho}\sigma\sqrt{\varrho}} \quad \text{fidelity}$$

$$\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) := D_{1/2}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := -2 \log F(\varrho\|\sigma) + 2 \log \text{Tr} \varrho$$

sandwiched 1/2-Rényi divergence

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- Definition 2.** ([Renner05, Datta09])

Max-relative entropy of ϱ w.r.t. σ ,

or **sandwiched Rényi divergence** with parameter ∞ :

$$D_{\infty}^*(\varrho \parallel \sigma) := \log \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho \leq \lambda \sigma \} = \begin{cases} \log \left\| \sigma^{-1/2} \varrho \sigma^{-1/2} \right\|, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- Definition 2.** ([Renner05, Datta09])

Max-relative entropy of ϱ w.r.t. σ ,
or **sandwiched Rényi divergence** with parameter ∞ :

$$D_{\infty}^*(\varrho \parallel \sigma) := \log \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho \leq \lambda \sigma \} = \begin{cases} \log \left\| \sigma^{-1/2} \varrho \sigma^{-1/2} \right\|, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Convention:

$$0 \leq \varrho = \sum_{i=1}^d r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \implies \varrho^t := \sum_{i:r_i>0} r_i^t |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- Definition 2.** ([Renner05, Datta09])

Max-relative entropy of ϱ w.r.t. σ ,
or **sandwiched Rényi divergence** with parameter ∞ :

$$D_{\infty}^*(\varrho \parallel \sigma) := \log \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho \leq \lambda \sigma \} = \begin{cases} \log \left\| \sigma^{-1/2} \varrho \sigma^{-1/2} \right\|, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Convention:**

$$0 \leq \varrho = \sum_{i=1}^d r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \implies \varrho^t := \sum_{i:r_i>0} r_i^t |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$\varrho^{-1} = \sum_{i:r_i>0} (1/r_i) |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \quad \text{generalized inverse}$$

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- Definition 2.** ([Renner05, Datta09])

Max-relative entropy of ϱ w.r.t. σ ,
or **sandwiched Rényi divergence** with parameter ∞ :

$$D_\infty^*(\varrho \parallel \sigma) := \log \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho \leq \lambda \sigma \} = \begin{cases} \log \left\| \sigma^{-1/2} \varrho \sigma^{-1/2} \right\|, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Convention:

$$0 \leq \varrho = \sum_{i=1}^d r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \implies \varrho^t := \sum_{i:r_i>0} r_i^t |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\varrho^{-1} = \sum_{i:r_i>0} (1/r_i) |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \quad \text{generalized inverse}$$

$$\varrho^0 = \lim_{\alpha \searrow 0} \varrho^\alpha \quad \text{projection onto} \quad \text{supp } \varrho := \text{span}\{e_i : r_i > 0\}.$$

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

General quantum divergences

- Most general definition of a **quantum divergence**:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined on every finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

- **Definition 3.** ([Umegaki62])

(Umegaki's) **relative entropy** of ϱ w.r.t. σ :

$$D_1(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr } \varrho(\log \varrho - \log \sigma), & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

(log defined only on the support)

General quantum divergences

Definition 4. A quantum divergence Δ is

- **positive**, if $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Delta(\varrho\|\varrho) = 0$,
and **strictly positive**, if, moreover, $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) = 0 \implies \varrho = \sigma$;

General quantum divergences

Definition 4. A quantum divergence Δ is

- **positive**, if $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Delta(\varrho\|\varrho) = 0$,
and **strictly positive**, if, moreover, $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) = 0 \implies \varrho = \sigma$;
- **additive**, if $\Delta(\varrho^{\otimes n}\|\sigma^{\otimes n}) = n \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

General quantum divergences

Definition 4. A quantum divergence Δ is

- **positive**, if $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Delta(\varrho\|\varrho) = 0$,
and **strictly positive**, if, moreover, $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) = 0 \implies \varrho = \sigma$;
- **additive**, if $\Delta(\varrho^{\otimes n}\|\sigma^{\otimes n}) = n \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- **stable**, if $\Delta(\varrho \otimes \omega\|\sigma \otimes \omega) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_+$;

General quantum divergences

Definition 4. A quantum divergence Δ is

- **positive**, if $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Delta(\varrho\|\varrho) = 0$,
and **strictly positive**, if, moreover, $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) = 0 \implies \varrho = \sigma$;
- **additive**, if $\Delta(\varrho^{\otimes n}\|\sigma^{\otimes n}) = n \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- **stable**, if $\Delta(\varrho \otimes \omega\|\sigma \otimes \omega) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_+$;
- **monotone**, if

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}(\varrho)\|\mathcal{E}(\sigma)) \leq \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma), \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \quad \mathcal{E} \text{ CPTP},$$

General quantum divergences

Definition 4. A quantum divergence Δ is

- **positive**, if $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Delta(\varrho\|\varrho) = 0$,
and **strictly positive**, if, moreover, $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) = 0 \implies \varrho = \sigma$;
- **additive**, if $\Delta(\varrho^{\otimes n}\|\sigma^{\otimes n}) = n \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- **stable**, if $\Delta(\varrho \otimes \omega\|\sigma \otimes \omega) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_+$;
- **monotone**, if

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}(\varrho)\|\mathcal{E}(\sigma)) \leq \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma), \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \quad \mathcal{E} \text{ CPTP},$$

- and Δ satisfies the **logarithmic scaling property** if

$$\Delta(\lambda\varrho\|\eta\sigma) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) + \log \lambda - \log \eta, \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \lambda, \eta > 0.$$

General quantum divergences

Definition 4. A quantum divergence Δ is

- **positive**, if $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Delta(\varrho\|\varrho) = 0$,
and **strictly positive**, if, moreover, $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) = 0 \implies \varrho = \sigma$;
- **additive**, if $\Delta(\varrho^{\otimes n}\|\sigma^{\otimes n}) = n \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- **stable**, if $\Delta(\varrho \otimes \omega\|\sigma \otimes \omega) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$, $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_+$;
- **monotone**, if

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}(\varrho)\|\mathcal{E}(\sigma)) \leq \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma), \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \quad \mathcal{E} \text{ CPTP},$$

- and Δ satisfies the **logarithmic scaling property** if

$$\Delta(\lambda\varrho\|\eta\sigma) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) + \log \lambda - \log \eta, \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \lambda, \eta > 0.$$

Exercise 5. $D_{1/2}^*$, D_1 , and D_∞^* satisfy all the above, and they are monotone even under PTP maps.

Solution: Easy, except for the monotonicity of $D_{1/2}^*$ ([NC, Section 9]), and positivity and monotonicity of D_1 (later).

General quantum divergences

Definition 4. A quantum divergence Δ is

- **positive**, if $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq 0 \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\Delta(\varrho\|\varrho) = 0$,
and **strictly positive**, if, moreover, $\Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) = 0 \implies \varrho = \sigma$;
- **additive**, if $\Delta(\varrho^{\otimes n}\|\sigma^{\otimes n}) = n \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- **stable**, if $\Delta(\varrho \otimes \omega\|\sigma \otimes \omega) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_+$;
- **monotone**, if

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}(\varrho)\|\mathcal{E}(\sigma)) \leq \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma), \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \quad \mathcal{E} \text{ CPTP},$$

- and Δ satisfies the **logarithmic scaling property** if

$$\Delta(\lambda\varrho\|\eta\sigma) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma) + \log \lambda - \log \eta, \quad \forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, \lambda, \eta > 0.$$

Exercise 6. Monotonicity implies stability and **isometric invariance**:
 $\Delta(V\varrho V^*\|V\sigma V^*) = \Delta(\varrho\|\sigma)$, $\forall \varrho, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+, V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$
isometry. *Solution:* Easy.

Monotonicity and convexity

Definition 7. A quantum divergence Δ is **jointly convex** if

$$\Delta \left(\sum_i p_i \varrho_i \parallel \sum_i p_i \sigma_i \right) \leq \sum_i p_i \Delta(\varrho_i \parallel \sigma_i), \quad \sum_i p_i = 1.$$

Monotonicity and convexity

Definition 7. A quantum divergence Δ is **jointly convex** if

$$\Delta\left(\sum_i p_i \varrho_i \parallel \sum_i p_i \sigma_i\right) \leq \sum_i p_i \Delta(\varrho_i \parallel \sigma_i), \quad \sum_i p_i = 1.$$

Theorem 8. (Uhlmann) If Δ is jointly convex and invariant under isometries then it is monotone.

Proof: $\mathcal{E}_{A \rightarrow B}(\cdot) = \text{Tr}_E V(\cdot) V^*$ Stinespring dilation,

$$\text{Tr}_E(\cdot) = \frac{1}{d_B^2} \sum_{k,l=0}^{d_B-1} (I_B \otimes W_{k,l})(\cdot)(I_B \otimes W_{k,l})^*$$

$$W_{k,l} := X^k Z^l, \quad X = \sum_{a=0}^{d_B-1} |a+1\rangle\langle a|, \quad Z = \sum_{a=0}^{d_B-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{d_B}a} |a\rangle\langle a|$$

discrete Weyl unitaries.

Monotonicity and convexity

Definition 9. A quantum divergence Δ

- has the **direct sum property** if

$$\Delta\left(\sum_i \varrho_i \parallel \sum_i \sigma_i\right) = \sum_i \Delta\left(\varrho_i \parallel \sigma_i\right), \quad \text{when } \varrho_i^0 \vee \sigma_i^0 \perp_{i \neq j} \varrho_j^0 \vee \sigma_j^0.$$

- is **homogeneous** if $\lambda > 0$.

$$\Delta(\lambda \varrho \parallel \lambda \sigma) = \lambda \Delta(\varrho \parallel \sigma), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Monotonicity and convexity

Definition 9. A quantum divergence Δ

- has the **direct sum property** if

$$\Delta\left(\sum_i \varrho_i \parallel \sum_i \sigma_i\right) = \sum_i \Delta\left(\varrho_i \parallel \sigma_i\right), \quad \text{when } \varrho_i^0 \vee \sigma_i^0 \perp_{i \neq j} \varrho_j^0 \vee \sigma_j^0.$$

- is **homogeneous** if $\lambda > 0$.

$$\Delta(\lambda \varrho \parallel \lambda \sigma) = \lambda \Delta(\varrho \parallel \sigma), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Theorem 10. (Petz) If Δ is monotone and homogeneous, and has the direct sum property, then it is jointly convex.

Proof: Apply monotonicity to

$$\varrho = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle \langle i| \otimes \varrho_i, \quad \sigma = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle \langle i| \otimes \sigma_i.$$

III. Information measures from divergences

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- Interpretation: **uncertainty** about system A in state ϱ .

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- Interpretation: **uncertainty** about system A in state ϱ .
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- Interpretation: **uncertainty** about system A in state ϱ .
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.

Exercise 12. For the **relative entropy** $\Delta = D_1$ we get

$$H_1(A)_{\varrho} := H_{D_1}(A)_{\varrho} = -\operatorname{Tr} \varrho \log \varrho.$$

von Neumann entropy

Also denoted by $S(\varrho) = S(A)_{\varrho}$.

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- Interpretation: **uncertainty** about system A in state ϱ .
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- Interpretation: **uncertainty** about system A in state ϱ .
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.

Exercise 13.

$$H_{1/2}^*(\varrho) := H_{1/2}^*(A)_{\varrho} := H_{D_{1/2}^*}(A)_{\varrho} = 2 \log \operatorname{Tr} \sqrt{\varrho} \quad \text{max-entropy}$$

$$H_{\infty}^*(\varrho) := H_{\infty}^*(A)_{\varrho} := H_{D_{\infty}^*}(A)_{\varrho} = -\log \|\varrho\|_{\infty} \quad \text{min-entropy}$$

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.
- If Δ is positive then $H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} \leq \log |A|$, equality at $\varrho = \pi_A$.

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.
- If Δ is positive then $H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} \leq \log |A|$, equality at $\varrho = \pi_A$.
- If Δ is additive then so is H_{Δ} :

$$H_{\Delta}(AB)_{\varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B} = H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho_A} + H_{\Delta}(B)_{\varrho_B}.$$

Entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Notation: $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$, $\pi_A := \frac{1}{|A|} I_A$

Definition 11. Δ -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_{\Delta}(\varrho) := H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} := -\Delta(\varrho \| I_A) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho \| \pi_A).$$

- Measures how far the state is from the maximally mixed state in Δ “distance”.
- The first formula works also in infinite dimension.
- If Δ is positive then $H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} \leq \log |A|$, equality at $\varrho = \pi_A$.
- If Δ is additive then so is H_{Δ} :

$$H_{\Delta}(AB)_{\varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B} = H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho_A} + H_{\Delta}(B)_{\varrho_B}.$$

- If Δ is monotone then H_{Δ} is monotone non-decreasing under unital CPTP maps (e.g., rank 1 projective measurements).

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Definition 12. A state ϱ **majorizes** another state σ ($\varrho \succeq \sigma$), or σ is **more mixed** than ϱ , if

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^\downarrow(\sigma) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^\downarrow(\varrho) \quad \forall m,$$

where $\lambda_1^\downarrow \geq \lambda_2^\downarrow \geq \dots$ are the decreasingly ordered eigenvalues.

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Definition 12. A state ϱ **majorizes** another state σ ($\varrho \succeq \sigma$), or σ is **more mixed** than ϱ , if

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^\downarrow(\sigma) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^\downarrow(\varrho) \quad \forall m,$$

where $\lambda_1^\downarrow \geq \lambda_2^\downarrow \geq \dots$ are the decreasingly ordered eigenvalues.

Exercise 13. $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \succeq \varrho \succeq \pi$ for every ϱ , i.e., pure states are the least mixed states, and the maximally mixed state is the most.

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Definition 12. A state ϱ **majorizes** another state σ ($\varrho \succeq \sigma$), or σ is **more mixed** than ϱ , if

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^\downarrow(\sigma) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^\downarrow(\varrho) \quad \forall m,$$

where $\lambda_1^\downarrow \geq \lambda_2^\downarrow \geq \dots$ are the decreasingly ordered eigenvalues.

Exercise 13. $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \succeq \varrho \succeq \pi$ for every ϱ , i.e., pure states are the least mixed states, and the maximally mixed state is the most.

Exercise 14. For two states ϱ, σ , the following are equivalent:

- (i). $\varrho \succeq \sigma$
- (ii). $\sum_i p_i U_i \varrho U_i^* = \sigma$ for some unitaries U_i and prob. distr. $(p_i)_i$.
- (iii). $\mathcal{E}(\varrho) = \sigma$ for some unital CPTP map \mathcal{E}

Solution: Use the classical characterization of majorization for (i) \implies (ii) [Hiai10, Proposition 4.1.1].

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Corollary 15. A function H on quantum states is monotone non-decreasing under unital CPTP maps if and only if it is monotone non-increasing w.r.t. majorization (Schur concave).

In particular, it takes its smallest value on pure states and its largest value on the maximally mixed state.

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Corollary 15. A function H on quantum states is monotone non-decreasing under unital CPTP maps if and only if it is monotone non-increasing w.r.t. majorization ([Schur concave](#)).

In particular, it takes its smallest value on pure states and its largest value on the maximally mixed state.

Exercise 16. Such a function takes the same value on every pure state on the same Hilbert space.

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Corollary 15. A function H on quantum states is monotone non-decreasing under unital CPTP maps if and only if it is monotone non-increasing w.r.t. majorization ([Schur concave](#)).

In particular, it takes its smallest value on pure states and its largest value on the maximally mixed state.

Exercise 16. Such a function takes the same value on every pure state on the same Hilbert space.

- To call H an [entropy](#) function, we require [Schur concavity](#) with the [normalization](#) $H(\text{pure state}) = 0$,
 $H(\text{maximally mixed state}) = \log \dim(\text{Hilbert space})$,

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Corollary 15. A function H on quantum states is monotone non-decreasing under unital CPTP maps if and only if it is monotone non-increasing w.r.t. majorization (Schur concave).

In particular, it takes its smallest value on pure states and its largest value on the maximally mixed state.

Exercise 16. Such a function takes the same value on every pure state on the same Hilbert space.

- To call H an entropy function, we require Schur concavity with the normalization $H(\text{pure state}) = 0$,
 $H(\text{maximally mixed state}) = \log \dim(\text{Hilbert space})$,
plus additivity.

Monotonicity of entropy and majorization

Corollary 15. A function H on quantum states is monotone non-decreasing under unital CPTP maps if and only if it is monotone non-increasing w.r.t. majorization (Schur concave).

In particular, it takes its smallest value on pure states and its largest value on the maximally mixed state.

Exercise 16. Such a function takes the same value on every pure state on the same Hilbert space.

- To call H an entropy function, we require Schur concavity with the normalization $H(\text{pure state}) = 0$,
 $H(\text{maximally mixed state}) = \log \dim(\text{Hilbert space})$,
plus additivity.

Exercise 17. If H is concave and unitarily invariant then it is Schur concave.

Holds for H_Δ if Δ is unitarily invariant and convex in its first variable.

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

- Measures how far the state is from a state where A and B are independent, and A is in the maximally mixed state.

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

- Measures how far the state is from a state where A and B are independent, and A is in the maximally mixed state.
- Interpretation: uncertainty about system A given access to system B .

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

- Measures how far the state is from a state where A and B are independent, and A is in the maximally mixed state.
- Interpretation: uncertainty about system A given access to system B .
- Variant:

$$H_{\Delta}^{\downarrow}(A|B)_{\varrho} := - \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \varrho_B) = \log |A| - \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \varrho_B).$$

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 19.

- a). If $|B| = 1$ then $H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} = H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho}$.
- b). If Δ is additive and positive then $H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B} = H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho_A}$.

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Terminology: $H_{1/2}^*(A|B)_{\varrho} := H_{D_{1/2}^*}(A|B)_{\varrho}$ (conditional) max-entropy

$H_{\infty}^*(A|B)_{\varrho} := H_{D_{\infty}^*}(A|B)_{\varrho}$ (conditional) min-entropy

No explicit formulas in general.

Conditional entropy can be negative

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Conditional entropy can be negative

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 20. For the relative entropy $\Delta = D_1$ we get

$$H_1(A|B)_{\varrho} := H_{D_1}(A|B)_{\varrho} = H_{D_1}^{\downarrow}(A|B)_{\varrho} = H_1(AB)_{\varrho} - H_1(B)_{\varrho}$$

conditional von Neumann entropy

Conditional entropy can be negative

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. Conditional Δ -entropy of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 20. For the relative entropy $\Delta = D_1$ we get

$$H_1(A|B)_{\varrho} := H_{D_1}(A|B)_{\varrho} = H_{D_1}^{\downarrow}(A|B)_{\varrho} = H_1(AB)_{\varrho} - H_1(B)_{\varrho}$$

conditional von Neumann entropy

It is **negative** on every pure entangled state.

Operational interpretation: Entanglement cost in state merging [HOW05, HOW07].

Concavity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Concavity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 20. If $f : C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is jointly convex then $y \mapsto \inf_x f(x, y)$ is convex.

Concavity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 20. If $f : C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is jointly convex then $y \mapsto \inf_x f(x, y)$ is convex.

Exercise 21. If Δ is jointly convex then $H_{\Delta}(\cdot|\cdot)_{\varrho}$ is **concave** in ϱ , i.e.,

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\sum_i p_i \varrho_i} \geq \sum_i p_i H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho_i}.$$

Concavity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 20. If $f : C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is jointly convex then $y \mapsto \inf_x f(x, y)$ is convex.

Exercise 21. If Δ is jointly convex then $H_{\Delta}(\cdot|\cdot)_{\varrho}$ is **concave** in ϱ , i.e.,

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\sum_i p_i \varrho_i} \geq \sum_i p_i H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho_i}.$$

Exercise 22. If Δ is jointly convex, additive and positive then the conditional H_{Δ} is non-negative on separable states.

Concavity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 20. If $f : C_1 \times C_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is jointly convex then $y \mapsto \inf_x f(x, y)$ is convex.

Exercise 21. If Δ is jointly convex then $H_{\Delta}(\cdot|\cdot)_{\varrho}$ is **concave** in ϱ , i.e.,

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\sum_i p_i \varrho_i} \geq \sum_i p_i H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho_i}.$$

Exercise 22. If Δ is jointly convex, additive and positive then the conditional H_{Δ} is non-negative on separable states.

Negative conditional entropy is really a **quantum** phenomenon.

Monotonicity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

- If Δ is **monotone** then so is the conditional Δ -entropy:

$\mathcal{E} : A \rightarrow A'$ unital CPTP, $\mathcal{F} : B \rightarrow B'$ CPTP

$$H_{\Delta}(A'|B')_{\varrho'} \geq H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho}, \quad \varrho' = (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})\varrho.$$

Monotonicity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

- If Δ is **monotone** then so is the conditional Δ -entropy:

$$\mathcal{E} : A \rightarrow A' \text{ unital CPTP}, \quad \mathcal{F} : B \rightarrow B' \text{ CPTP}$$

$$H_{\Delta}(A'|B')_{\varrho'} \geq H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho}, \quad \varrho' = (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})\varrho.$$

In particular, **conditioning reduces uncertainty**:

$$H_{\Delta}(A)_{\varrho} \geq H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} \geq H_{\Delta}(A|BB')_{\varrho}$$

Superadditivity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Superadditivity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. Conditional Δ -entropy of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

- If Δ is additive then the conditional Δ -entropy is **superadditive**:

$$H_{\Delta}(A_1 A_2 | B_1 B_2)_{\varrho_{A_1 B_1} \otimes \varrho_{A_2 B_2}} \geq H_{\Delta}(A_1 | B_1)_{\varrho_{A_1 B_1}} + H_{\Delta}(A_2 | B_2)_{\varrho_{A_2 B_2}}$$

Superadditivity of conditional entropy

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 18. **Conditional Δ -entropy** of a bi-partite state $\varrho = \varrho_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} &:= - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \log |A| - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \pi_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

- If Δ is additive then the conditional Δ -entropy is **superadditive**:

$$H_{\Delta}(A_1 A_2 | B_1 B_2)_{\varrho_{A_1 B_1} \otimes \varrho_{A_2 B_2}} \geq H_{\Delta}(A_1 | B_1)_{\varrho_{A_1 B_1}} + H_{\Delta}(A_2 | B_2)_{\varrho_{A_2 B_2}}$$

- Additivity?

Duality and additivity

Exercise 23. For any tripartite pure state ϱ_{ABC} ,

$$H_1(A|B)_\varrho = -H_1(A|C)_\varrho.$$

Duality and additivity

Exercise 23. For any tripartite pure state ϱ_{ABC} ,

$$H_1(A|B)_\varrho = -H_1(A|C)_\varrho.$$

Definition 24. Two functions H and \tilde{H} on bi-partite states are **dual** to each other, if $H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) = 0$ for any tri-partite pure state ϱ_{ABC} .

Duality and additivity

Exercise 23. For any tripartite pure state ϱ_{ABC} ,

$$H_1(A|B)_\varrho = -H_1(A|C)_\varrho.$$

Definition 24. Two functions H and \tilde{H} on bi-partite states are **dual** to each other, if $H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) = 0$ for any tri-partite pure state ϱ_{ABC} .

Example 25. The conditional von Neumann entropy is self-dual.

Duality and additivity

Exercise 23. For any tripartite pure state ϱ_{ABC} ,

$$H_1(A|B)_\varrho = -H_1(A|C)_\varrho.$$

Definition 24. Two functions H and \tilde{H} on bi-partite states are **dual** to each other, if $H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) = 0$ for any tri-partite pure state ϱ_{ABC} .

Example 25. The conditional von Neumann entropy is self-dual.

Example 26. The conditional min- and max-entropies are dual to each other. ([KRS09]). Special case of duality for conditional Rényi entropies ([Tomamichel]).

Duality and additivity

Exercise 23. For any tripartite pure state ϱ_{ABC} ,

$$H_1(A|B)_\varrho = -H_1(A|C)_\varrho.$$

Definition 24. Two functions H and \tilde{H} on bi-partite states are **dual** to each other, if $H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) = 0$ for any tri-partite pure state ϱ_{ABC} .

Example 25. The conditional von Neumann entropy is self-dual.

Example 26. The conditional min- and max-entropies are dual to each other. ([KRS09]). Special case of duality for conditional Rényi entropies ([Tomamichel]).

Exercise 27. If H and \tilde{H} are **dual** to each other, and both are **superadditive** then both are **additive**.

Duality and additivity

Exercise 23. For any tripartite pure state ϱ_{ABC} ,

$$H_1(A|B)_\varrho = -H_1(A|C)_\varrho.$$

Definition 24. Two functions H and \tilde{H} on bi-partite states are **dual** to each other, if $H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) = 0$ for any tri-partite pure state ϱ_{ABC} .

Example 25. The conditional von Neumann entropy is self-dual.

Example 26. The conditional min- and max-entropies are dual to each other. ([KRS09]). Special case of duality for conditional Rényi entropies ([Tomamichel]).

Exercise 27. If H and \tilde{H} are **dual** to each other, and both are **superadditive** then both are **additive**.

Example 28. The conditional min- and max-entropies are additive.

Duality and additivity

Exercise 23. For any tripartite pure state ϱ_{ABC} ,

$$H_1(A|B)_\varrho = -H_1(A|C)_\varrho.$$

Definition 24. Two functions H and \tilde{H} on bi-partite states are **dual** to each other, if $H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) = 0$ for any tri-partite pure state ϱ_{ABC} .

Example 25. The conditional von Neumann entropy is self-dual.

Example 26. The conditional min- and max-entropies are dual to each other. ([KRS09]). Special case of duality for conditional Rényi entropies ([Tomamichel]).

Exercise 27. If H and \tilde{H} are **dual** to each other, and both are **superadditive** then both are **additive**.

Example 28. The conditional min- and max-entropies are additive.

Remark: Duality of conditional H_Δ and $H_{\tilde{\Delta}}$ cannot be formulated on the level of the divergences.

Duality and entropy bounds

Exercise 29. If H_Δ and $H_{\tilde{\Delta}}$ are dual, and both Δ and $\tilde{\Delta}$ monotone then

$$H_\Delta(A)_\varrho \geq H_\Delta(A|B)_\varrho \geq -H_{\tilde{\Delta}}(A)_\varrho.$$

Only depends on the first subsystem.

Duality and entropy bounds

Exercise 29. If H_Δ and $H_{\tilde{\Delta}}$ are dual, and both Δ and $\tilde{\Delta}$ monotone then

$$H_\Delta(A)_\varrho \geq H_\Delta(A|B)_\varrho \geq -H_{\tilde{\Delta}}(A)_\varrho.$$

Only depends on the first subsystem.

Corollary 30. If $\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}$ are positive then $H_\Delta(A)_\varrho, H_{\tilde{\Delta}}(A)_\varrho \leq \log |A|$, and

$$\log |A| \geq H_\Delta(A|B)_\varrho \geq -\log |A|$$

independently of the state.

Duality and entropy bounds

Exercise 29. If H_Δ and $H_{\tilde{\Delta}}$ are dual, and both Δ and $\tilde{\Delta}$ monotone then

$$H_\Delta(A)_\varrho \geq H_\Delta(A|B)_\varrho \geq -H_{\tilde{\Delta}}(A)_\varrho.$$

Only depends on the first subsystem.

Corollary 30. If $\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}$ are positive then $H_\Delta(A)_\varrho, H_{\tilde{\Delta}}(A)_\varrho \leq \log |A|$, and

$$\log |A| \geq H_\Delta(A|B)_\varrho \geq -\log |A|$$

independently of the state.

Application: Alicki-Fannes continuity bound on the conditional von Neumann entropy, continuity of channel capacities. [LS09]

Duality, monogamy, and uncertainty

Exercise 31. If H and \tilde{H} are dual and H or \tilde{H} is monotone under partial trace over the second system then

$$H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) \geq 0$$

for any tri-partite state ϱ_{ABC} .

Duality, monogamy, and uncertainty

Exercise 31. If H and \tilde{H} are dual and H or \tilde{H} is monotone under partial trace over the second system then

$$H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) \geq 0$$

for any tri-partite state ϱ_{ABC} .

Interpretation: Monogamy of correlations.

E.g. A and B are highly entangled

$\implies -H_1(A|B)_\varrho$ large $\implies H_1(A|C)_\varrho$ large,

i.e., A is close to decoupled from C .

Duality, monogamy, and uncertainty

Exercise 31. If H and \tilde{H} are dual and H or \tilde{H} is monotone under partial trace over the second system then

$$H(\varrho_{AB}) + \tilde{H}(\varrho_{AC}) \geq 0$$

for any tri-partite state ϱ_{ABC} .

Interpretation: Monogamy of correlations.

E.g. A and B are highly entangled

$$\implies -H_1(A|B)_\varrho \text{ large} \implies H_1(A|C)_\varrho \text{ large,}$$

i.e., A is close to decoupled from C .

Application: General tri-partite uncertainty relations for dual conditional entropies H_Δ and $H_{\tilde{\Delta}}$ [CCYZ12, CBTW17]:

$$H_\Delta(X|B) + H_{\tilde{\Delta}}(Z|C) \geq \text{const}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z} are two measurements on A with output spaces X, Z .

Mutual information

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 32. Δ -mutual information in a bi-partite state ϱ_{AB} :

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

Mutual information

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 32. Δ -mutual information in a bi-partite state ϱ_{AB} :

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Variants: $I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \varrho_B)$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B)$$

Mutual information

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 32. Δ -mutual information in a bi-partite state ϱ_{AB} :

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Variants: $I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \varrho_B)$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B)$$

- Measures how far the state is from the set of uncorrelated states.

Mutual information

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 32. Δ -mutual information in a bi-partite state ϱ_{AB} :

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Variants: $I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \varrho_B)$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B)$$

- Measures how far the state is from the set of uncorrelated states.
- **Exercise 33.** For Umegaki's relative entropy these all coincide, and are equal to

$$I_1(A : B)_{\varrho} := I_{D_1}(A : B)_{\varrho} = H_1(A)_{\varrho} + H_1(B)_{\varrho} - H_1(AB)_{\varrho}.$$

Mutual information

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 32. Δ -mutual information in a bi-partite state ϱ_{AB} :

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Variants: $I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \varrho_B)$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B)$$

- Measures how far the state is from the set of uncorrelated states.
- **Exercise 33.** For Umegaki's relative entropy these all coincide, and are equal to

$$I_1(A : B)_{\varrho} := I_{D_1}(A : B)_{\varrho} = H_1(A)_{\varrho} + H_1(B)_{\varrho} - H_1(AB)_{\varrho}.$$

- In general they are different.

Mutual information

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 32. Δ -mutual information in a bi-partite state ϱ_{AB} :

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Variants: $I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \varrho_B)$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B)$$

- Measures how far the state is from the set of uncorrelated states.

Mutual information

Let Δ be a positive divergence with logarithmic scaling.

Definition 32. Δ -mutual information in a bi-partite state ϱ_{AB} :

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Variants: $I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \varrho_B)$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \inf_{\sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A), \sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

$$I_{\Delta}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(A : B)_{\varrho} := \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| \varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B)$$

- Measures how far the state is from the set of uncorrelated states.
- It is not completely clear which one is the “right” definition.

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$I(A : B|C)_\varrho \quad := \quad H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho$$

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B|C)_\varrho &:= H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho \\ &= H_1(A|C)_\varrho - H_1(A|BC)_\varrho \end{aligned}$$

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B|C)_\varrho &:= H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho \\ &= H_1(A|C)_\varrho - H_1(A|BC)_\varrho \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B|C)_\varrho &:= H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho \\ &= H_1(A|C)_\varrho - H_1(A|BC)_\varrho \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Non-negativity \iff strong subadditivity of entropy ([LR73])

$$H_1(ABC)_\varrho + H_1(C)_\varrho \leq H_1(AC)_\varrho + H_1(BC)_\varrho$$

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B|C)_\varrho &:= H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho \\ &= H_1(A|C)_\varrho - H_1(A|BC)_\varrho \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Non-negativity \iff strong subadditivity of entropy ([LR73])

$$H_1(ABC)_\varrho + H_1(C)_\varrho \leq H_1(AC)_\varrho + H_1(BC)_\varrho$$

Equality $\iff \varrho_{ABC}$ is a short quantum Markov chain. [HJPW04]

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B|C)_\varrho &:= H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho \\ &= H_1(A|C)_\varrho - H_1(A|BC)_\varrho \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Non-negativity \iff strong subadditivity of entropy ([LR73])

$$H_1(ABC)_\varrho + H_1(C)_\varrho \leq H_1(AC)_\varrho + H_1(BC)_\varrho$$

Equality $\iff \varrho_{ABC}$ is a short quantum Markov chain. [HJPW04]

Approximate equality $\iff \varrho_{ABC}$ approximate Markov chain. [FR15]

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B|C)_\varrho &:= H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho \\ &= H_1(A|C)_\varrho - H_1(A|BC)_\varrho \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Non-negativity \iff strong subadditivity of entropy ([LR73])

$$H_1(ABC)_\varrho + H_1(C)_\varrho \leq H_1(AC)_\varrho + H_1(BC)_\varrho$$

Equality $\iff \varrho_{ABC}$ is a short quantum Markov chain. [HJPW04]

Approximate equality $\iff \varrho_{ABC}$ approximate Markov chain. [FR15]

- Operational interpretation in quantum state redistribution. [YD09]

Conditional mutual information

- Von Neumann conditional mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(A : B|C)_\varrho &:= H_1(A|C)_\varrho + H_1(B|C)_\varrho - H_1(AB|C)_\varrho \\ &= H_1(A|C)_\varrho - H_1(A|BC)_\varrho \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Non-negativity \iff strong subadditivity of entropy ([LR73])

$$H_1(ABC)_\varrho + H_1(C)_\varrho \leq H_1(AC)_\varrho + H_1(BC)_\varrho$$

Equality $\iff \varrho_{ABC}$ is a short quantum Markov chain. [HJPW04]

Approximate equality $\iff \varrho_{ABC}$ approximate Markov chain. [FR15]

- Operational interpretation in quantum state redistribution. [YD09]
- Not clear how to generalize to divergences other than Umegaki's relative entropy. [BSW15]

Divergence radius and center

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$.

Definition 33. Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a Δ -center for Σ .

Divergence radius and center

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$.

Definition 33. Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a Δ -center for Σ .

- No explicit formula in general.

Divergence radius and center

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$.

Definition 33. Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a Δ -center for Σ .

- No explicit formula in general.
- **Monotonicity** if Δ is monotone: $R_{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}(\Sigma)) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$.

Divergence radius and center

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$.

Definition 33. Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a Δ -center for Σ .

- No explicit formula in general.
- **Monotonicity** if Δ is monotone: $R_{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}(\Sigma)) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$.
- Additivity?

Divergence radius and center

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$.

Definition 33. Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a Δ -center for Σ .

- No explicit formula in general.
- **Monotonicity** if Δ is monotone: $R_{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}(\Sigma)) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$.
- Additivity? No general method to establish.

Divergence radius and center

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$.

Definition 33. Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a Δ -center for Σ .

- No explicit formula in general.
- **Monotonicity** if Δ is monotone: $R_{\Delta}(\mathcal{E}(\Sigma)) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$.
- Additivity? No general method to establish.

Exercise 34. If $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and Δ is a metric on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ then $R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$ is the radius of the smallest ball that can be circumscribed around Σ , and its center is a Δ -center.

Weighted divergence radius

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)$ be a finitely supported probability distribution on Σ .

Definition 35. P -weighted Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta, P}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a P -weighted Δ -center for Σ .

Weighted divergence radius

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)$ be a finitely supported probability distribution on Σ .

Definition 35. P -weighted Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta, P}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a P -weighted Δ -center for Σ .

- Monotone if Δ monotone, no explicit formula, additivity not obvious.

Weighted divergence radius

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)$ be a finitely supported probability distribution on Σ .

Definition 35. P -weighted Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta,P}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a P -weighted Δ -center for Σ .

- Monotone if Δ monotone, no explicit formula, additivity not obvious.

Exercise 36. If $\Delta = D_1$ and $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ finite then $\sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \varrho$ is the unique D_1 -center, and

$$R_{1,P}(\Sigma) := R_{D_1,P}(\Sigma) = H_1 \left(\sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \varrho \right) - \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) H_1(\varrho)$$

Holevo quantity

Weighted divergence radius

Let Δ be a positive divergence, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)$ be a finitely supported probability distribution on Σ .

Definition 35. P -weighted Δ -radius of Σ :

$$R_{\Delta,P}(\Sigma) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

If σ attains the infimum above, it is called a P -weighted Δ -center for Σ .

- Monotone if Δ monotone, no explicit formula, additivity not obvious.

Exercise 36. If $\Delta = D_1$ and $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ finite then $\sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \varrho$ is the unique D_1 -center, and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,P}(\Sigma) &:= R_{D_1,P}(\Sigma) &= H_1 \left(\sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \varrho \right) - \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) H_1(\varrho) \\ &&&\text{Holevo quantity} \\ &= I_1(A : B)_{\varrho}, \quad \varrho = \sum_{\varrho \in \text{supp } P} P(\varrho) |\varrho\rangle \langle \varrho| \otimes \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 37. $R_{\Delta,P}(\Sigma) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$ for every P ,

Proposition 37. $R_{\Delta,P}(\Sigma) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$ for every P , and if Δ is convex and lower semi-continuous in its second argument then

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} R_{\Delta,P}(S) = R_{\Delta}(\Sigma).$$

Proposition 37. $R_{\Delta,P}(\Sigma) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$ for every P , and if Δ is convex and lower semi-continuous in its second argument then

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} R_{\Delta,P}(S) = R_{\Delta}(\Sigma).$$

Proof:

$$R_{\Delta}(S) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma) \quad = \quad \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma)$$

Proposition 37. $R_{\Delta,P}(\Sigma) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$ for every P , and if Δ is convex and lower semi-continuous in its second argument then

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} R_{\Delta,P}(S) = R_{\Delta}(\Sigma).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\Delta}(S) &= \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma) &= \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma) \\ &\stackrel{\text{minimax}}{=} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 37. $R_{\Delta,P}(\Sigma) \leq R_{\Delta}(\Sigma)$ for every P , and if Δ is convex and lower semi-continuous in its second argument then

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} R_{\Delta,P}(S) = R_{\Delta}(\Sigma).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\Delta}(S) &= \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\varrho \in \Sigma} \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma) &= \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma) \\ &\stackrel{\text{minimax}}{=} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\Sigma)} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{\varrho \in \Sigma} P(\varrho) \Delta(\varrho \| \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Application: Strong converse properties of the classical capacity of various channel models ([KW09, WWY13, MO17]).

IV. Single-shot state discrimination and min-entropy

State discrimination: Multiple, single-shot, Bayesian

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of M possible messages using a quantum system.

State discrimination: Multiple, single-shot, Bayesian

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of M possible messages using a quantum system.

She encodes message $i \in [M] := \{1, \dots, M\}$ into some state of the quantum system, and sends it to Bob over a quantum channel; Bob receives the system in state ϱ_i .

Bob performs a POVM $\{M_i\}_{i \in [M]}$ to decide which message was sent.

State discrimination: Multiple, single-shot, Bayesian

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of M possible messages using a quantum system.

She encodes message $i \in [M] := \{1, \dots, M\}$ into some state of the quantum system, and sends it to Bob over a quantum channel; Bob receives the system in state ϱ_i .

Bob performs a POVM $\{M_i\}_{i \in [M]}$ to decide which message was sent.

If Alice sends the i -th message with probability p_i then the **optimal Bayesian success probability (guessing probability)** is

$$P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_i M_i : \{M_i\}_{i \in [M]} \text{ POVM} \right\}.$$

- **Single-shot state discrimination with multiple hypothesis and Bayesian error criterion.**

$$P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_i M_i : \{M_i\}_{i \in [M]} \text{ POVM} \right\}.$$

$$P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_i M_i : \{M_i\}_{i \in [M]} \text{ POVM} \right\}.$$

Exercise 38.

Assume that all ϱ_i commute: $\varrho_i = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \varrho_i(x) |x\rangle\langle x|$. Show that

- a). It is enough to consider measurement operators diagonal in the same basis.
- b). A measurement is optimal iff it is a **maximum likelihood measurement**:

$$M_i(x) = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad p_i \varrho_i(x) < m(x) := \max_{i \in [M]} p_i \varrho_i(x).$$

c). $P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} m(x) = \operatorname{Tr} \max_{i \in [M]} \{p_i \varrho_i\},$

where the maximum is in the (diagonal) entry-wise sense.

Solution: Easy; see, e.g., [AM14, Appendix B].

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- **PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- **Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases.

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- **PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- **Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**

Exercise 39. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : X \leq A, X \leq B\} \quad \text{and} \\ \{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : A \leq Y, B \leq Y\} \end{aligned}$$

do not admit a maximal (resp. minimal) element.

Solution: Easy; see, e.g., [AM14, Example A.1].

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- **PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- **Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**
- Theorem 40.** ([AM14, Theorem A.3]) Let $A_1, \dots, A_M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$. Then there is a unique element with minimal trace in $\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : A_1, \dots, A_M \leq Y\}$. **Definition:** $\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**
- Theorem 40.** ([AM14, Theorem A.3]) Let $A_1, \dots, A_M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$. Then there is a unique element with minimal trace in $\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : A_1, \dots, A_M \leq Y\}$. **Definition:** $\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\} &:= \operatorname{argmax}\{\operatorname{Tr} X : X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}, X \leq A_i \quad \forall i\} \\ &= -\max_{\text{Tr}}\{-A_1, \dots, -A_M\}. \end{aligned}$$

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**
- Theorem 40.** ([AM14, Theorem A.3]) Let $A_1, \dots, A_M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$. Then there is a unique element with minimal trace in $\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : A_1, \dots, A_M \leq Y\}$. **Definition:** $\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- **PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- **Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**
- **Theorem 40.** ([AM14, Theorem A.3]) Let $A_1, \dots, A_M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$. Then there is a unique element with minimal trace in $\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : A_1, \dots, A_M \leq Y\}$. **Definition:** $\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$

Exercise 41. If all A_i commute then $\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$ is the usual entry-wise maximum.

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- **PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- **Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**
- **Theorem 40.** ([AM14, Theorem A.3]) Let $A_1, \dots, A_M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$. Then there is a unique element with minimal trace in $\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : A_1, \dots, A_M \leq Y\}$. **Definition:** $\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$

Maximum and minimum of operators

- Reminder: $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if

$$\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{Notation: } A \geq 0$$

- PSD order:** $A \geq B$ if $A - B \geq 0$.
- Fun fact:** A finite set of self-adjoint operators does not have a maximal or minimal element in the PSD order, except for very special cases. **Even if the operators commute!**
- Theorem 40.** ([AM14, Theorem A.3]) Let $A_1, \dots, A_M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$. Then there is a unique element with minimal trace in $\{Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}} : A_1, \dots, A_M \leq Y\}$. **Definition:** $\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_M\}$

Exercise 42. For $\Sigma = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$R_{\infty}^*(\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}) = \log \text{Tr} \max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$$
$$\sigma^* := \frac{\max_{\text{Tr}}\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}}{\text{Tr}(\dots)} \quad \text{unique } D_{\infty}^*\text{-center.}$$

Guessing probability and the D_∞^* -radius

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) = \text{Tr} \max_{\text{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\}$$

Proof: : The first equality follows from the duality of semi-definite programming, the rest are trivial.

Guessing probability and the D_∞^* -radius

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned} P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\ &= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: : The first equality follows from the duality of semi-definite programming, the rest are trivial.

Guessing probability and the D_{∞}^* -radius

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned}P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\&= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\&= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \}\end{aligned}$$

Proof: : The first equality follows from the duality of semi-definite programming, the rest are trivial.

Guessing probability and the D_{∞}^* -radius

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned} P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\ &= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \exp \left(\min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_i D_{\infty}^*(p_i \varrho_i \| \sigma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: : The first equality follows from the duality of semi-definite programming, the rest are trivial.

Guessing probability and the D_{∞}^* -radius

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned} P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\ &= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \exp \left(\min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_i D_{\infty}^*(p_i \varrho_i \| \sigma) \right) \\ &= \exp(R_{\infty}^*(\{p_i \varrho_i\})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: : The first equality follows from the duality of semi-definite programming, the rest are trivial.

Guessing probability and the D_∞^* -radius

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned} P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\ &= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \exp \left(\min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_i D_\infty^*(p_i \varrho_i \| \sigma) \right) \\ &= \exp(R_\infty^*(\{p_i \varrho_i\})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: : The first equality follows from the duality of semi-definite programming, the rest are trivial.

Corollary 44. When $p_1 = \dots = p_M = \frac{1}{M}$, we have

$$P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) = \frac{1}{M} \exp(R_\infty^*(\{\varrho_i\}))$$

Operational interpretation of the D_∞^* -radius.

Guessing probability and conditional min-entropy

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned}P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\&= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\&= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \}\end{aligned}$$

Guessing probability and conditional min-entropy

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned}P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \text{Tr} \max_{\text{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\&= \min \{ \text{Tr } Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\&= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\&= \exp(-H_\infty^*(A|B)_\varrho),\end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^M p_i |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes \varrho_i$ classical-quantum state.

- Operational interpretation of the conditional min-entropy [KRS09].

Guessing probability and conditional min-entropy

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned} P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \text{Tr} \max_{\text{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\ &= \min \{ \text{Tr } Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \exp(-H_\infty^*(A|B)_\varrho), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^M p_i |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes \varrho_i$ classical-quantum state.

- Operational interpretation of the conditional min-entropy [KRS09].
- $P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\})$ is the optimal probability of correctly guessing the classical value of i in system A based on the quantum side-information in system B .

Guessing probability and conditional min-entropy

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned} P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \text{Tr} \max_{\text{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\ &= \min \{ \text{Tr } Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\ &= \exp(-H_\infty^*(A|B)_\varrho), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^M p_i |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes \varrho_i$ classical-quantum state.

- Operational interpretation of the conditional min-entropy [KRS09].
- $P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\})$ is the optimal probability of correctly guessing the classical value of i in system A based on the quantum side-information in system B .
- No side-information: $P_s^*(\{p_i\}) = \max_i p_i = \exp(-H_\infty^*(A)_\varrho)$.

Guessing probability and conditional min-entropy

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned}P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\&= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\&= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\&= \exp(-H_\infty^*(A|B)_\varrho),\end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^M p_i |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes \varrho_i$ classical-quantum state.

- Operational interpretation of the conditional min-entropy [KRS09].

Guessing probability and conditional min-entropy

Theorem 43. ([YKL75])

$$\begin{aligned}P_s^*(\{\varrho_i, p_i\}) &= \operatorname{Tr} \max_{\operatorname{Tr}} \{p_1 \varrho_1, \dots, p_M \varrho_M\} \\&= \min \{ \operatorname{Tr} Y : Y = Y^*, p_i \varrho_i \leq Y \quad \forall i \} \\&= \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : p_i \varrho_i \leq \lambda \sigma \quad \forall i \} \\&= \exp(-H_\infty^*(A|B)_\varrho),\end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^M p_i |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes \varrho_i$ classical-quantum state.

- Operational interpretation of the conditional min-entropy [KRS09].

Also for a quantum-quantum state as the maximal singlet fraction.

A geometric problem

Exercise 44. Let $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_M \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, and let q_{\max} be the largest $q \in (0, 1]$ such that there exist states $\varrho'_1, \dots, \varrho'_r \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ with

$$q\varrho_i + (1 - q)\varrho'_i = q\varrho_j + (1 - q)\varrho'_j \quad \forall i, j.$$

Show that $-\log q_{\max} = R_{\infty}^*(\{\varrho_i\})$, and $q\varrho_i + (1 - q)\varrho'_i$ is the D_{∞}^* divergence center.

Binary state discrimination

Exercise 45. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\max\{\mathrm{Tr} AT + \mathrm{Tr} B(I - T) : 0 \leq T \leq I\} = \mathrm{Tr} \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} = A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2}(A + B + |A - B|).$$

Binary state discrimination

Exercise 45. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\max\{\mathrm{Tr} AT + \mathrm{Tr} B(I - T) : 0 \leq T \leq I\} = \mathrm{Tr} \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} = A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (A + B + |A - B|).$$

Corollary 46. Optimal guessing probability for ϱ_1 vs. ϱ_2 with equal priors is

$$P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

Operational interpretation of the trace norm.

Binary state discrimination

Exercise 45. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\max\{\mathrm{Tr} AT + \mathrm{Tr} B(I - T) : 0 \leq T \leq I\} = \mathrm{Tr} \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} = A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (A + B + |A - B|).$$

Corollary 46. Optimal guessing probability for ϱ_1 vs. ϱ_2 with equal priors is

$$P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

Operational interpretation of the trace norm.

Corollary 47. $-\log q_{\max} = R_{\infty}^*(\{\varrho_1, \varrho_2\}) = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right),$

and $\frac{\varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|}{2 + \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1}$ is the D_{∞}^* center.

Binary state discrimination

Exercise 45. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\max\{\mathrm{Tr} AT + \mathrm{Tr} B(I - T) : 0 \leq T \leq I\} = \mathrm{Tr} \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} = A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (A + B + |A - B|).$$

Corollary 46. Optimal guessing probability for ϱ_1 vs. ϱ_2 with equal priors is

$$P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

Operational interpretation of the trace norm.

Corollary 47. $-\log q_{\max} = R_{\infty}^*(\{\varrho_1, \varrho_2\}) = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right),$

and $\frac{\varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|}{2 + \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1}$ is the D_{∞}^* center.

Application: Improved [Alicki-Fannes inequalities](#) for the continuity of the conditional von Neumann entropy. [MH11, Winter16]

Binary state discrimination

Exercise 45. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\max\{\mathrm{Tr} AT + \mathrm{Tr} B(I - T) : 0 \leq T \leq I\} = \mathrm{Tr} \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} = A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (A + B + |A - B|).$$

Corollary 46. Optimal guessing probability for ϱ_1 vs. ϱ_2 with equal priors is

$$P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

Operational interpretation of the trace norm.

Binary state discrimination

Exercise 45. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\max\{\mathrm{Tr} AT + \mathrm{Tr} B(I - T) : 0 \leq T \leq I\} = \mathrm{Tr} \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} = A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (A + B + |A - B|).$$

Corollary 46. Optimal guessing probability for ϱ_1 vs. ϱ_2 with equal priors is

$$P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

Operational interpretation of the trace norm.

Corollary 48. Optimal error probability:

$$P_e^* = 1 - P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

Binary state discrimination

Exercise 45. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\max\{\mathrm{Tr} AT + \mathrm{Tr} B(I - T) : 0 \leq T \leq I\} = \mathrm{Tr} \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \max_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} = A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (A + B + |A - B|).$$

Corollary 46. Optimal guessing probability for ϱ_1 vs. ϱ_2 with equal priors is

$$P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

Operational interpretation of the trace norm.

Corollary 48. Optimal error probability:

$$P_e^* = 1 - P_s^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_1 \right).$$

What is the asymptotics of this quantity for many copies of ϱ_1, ϱ_2 ?

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho_1^{\otimes n} - \varrho_2^{\otimes n}\|_1 \right) \underset{n \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \quad ?$$

V. Asymptotic binary state discrimination and Rényi divergences

Binary state discrimination

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of 2 possible messages using a quantum system.

Binary state discrimination

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of 2 possible messages using a quantum system.

She encodes message $i \in \{0, 1\}$ into some state of the quantum system, and sends it to Bob over a quantum channel; Bob receives the system in state ϱ if the message was 0 and in state σ if the message was 1.

Binary state discrimination

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of 2 possible messages using a quantum system.

She encodes message $i \in \{0, 1\}$ into some state of the quantum system, and sends it to Bob over a quantum channel; Bob receives the system in state ϱ if the message was 0 and in state σ if the message was 1.

Bob performs a POVM ($T(0) = T$, $T(1) = I - T$) to decide which message was sent.

Binary state discrimination

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of 2 possible messages using a quantum system.

She encodes message $i \in \{0, 1\}$ into some state of the quantum system, and sends it to Bob over a quantum channel; Bob receives the system in state ϱ if the message was 0 and in state σ if the message was 1.

Bob performs a POVM ($T(0) = T$, $T(1) = I - T$) to decide which message was sent.

- **type I error:** A: 0 but B: 1 $\alpha(T) := \text{Tr } \varrho(I - T)$
type II error: A: 1 but B: 0 $\beta(T) := \text{Tr } \sigma T$

Binary state discrimination

- **Problem:** Alice wants to send Bob one of 2 possible messages using a quantum system.

She encodes message $i \in \{0, 1\}$ into some state of the quantum system, and sends it to Bob over a quantum channel; Bob receives the system in state ϱ if the message was 0 and in state σ if the message was 1.

Bob performs a POVM ($T(0) = T$, $T(1) = I - T$) to decide which message was sent.

- **type I error:** A: 0 but B: 1 $\alpha(T) := \text{Tr } \varrho(I - T)$
type II error: A: 1 but B: 0 $\beta(T) := \text{Tr } \sigma T$
- Optimal symmetric error probability:

$$P_e^*(\varrho, \sigma) := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\alpha(T) + \frac{1}{2}\beta(T) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho - \sigma\|_1 \right)$$

Strictly positive unless $\varrho \perp \sigma$.

Binary state discrimination

- To reduce the error, Alice can send the same message $n > 1$ times.

Binary state discrimination

- To reduce the error, Alice can send the same message $n > 1$ times.
- **type I error:** A: 0 but B: 1 $\alpha_n(T) := \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n}(I - T)$
type II error: A: 1 but B: 0 $\beta_n(T) := \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n}T$

Optimal symmetric error probability:

$$P_{e,n}^*(\varrho, \sigma) := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n(T) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_n(T) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \right)$$

Binary state discrimination

- To reduce the error, Alice can send the same message $n > 1$ times.
- **type I error:** A: 0 but B: 1 $\alpha_n(T) := \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n}(I - T)$
type II error: A: 1 but B: 0 $\beta_n(T) := \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n}T$

Optimal symmetric error probability:

$$P_{e,n}^*(\varrho, \sigma) := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n(T) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_n(T) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \right)$$

- **Exercise 49.** Use the Fuchs - van de Graaf inequalities ([NC, Chapter 9]) to show that $P_{e,n}^*$ **decays exponentially fast** in n .

Binary state discrimination

- To reduce the error, Alice can send the same message $n > 1$ times.
- **type I error:** A: 0 but B: 1 $\alpha_n(T) := \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n}(I - T)$
type II error: A: 1 but B: 0 $\beta_n(T) := \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n}T$

Optimal symmetric error probability:

$$P_{e,n}^*(\varrho, \sigma) := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n(T) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_n(T) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \right)$$

- **Exercise 49.** Use the Fuchs - van de Graaf inequalities ([NC, Chapter 9]) to show that $P_{e,n}^*$ **decays exponentially fast** in n .
- What is the **optimal exponent**?

Binary state discrimination

- To reduce the error, Alice can send the same message $n > 1$ times.
- **type I error:** A: 0 but B: 1 $\alpha_n(T) := \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n}(I - T)$
type II error: A: 1 but B: 0 $\beta_n(T) := \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n}T$

Optimal symmetric error probability:

$$P_{e,n}^*(\varrho, \sigma) := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_n(T) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_n(T) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \right)$$

- **Exercise 49.** Use the Fuchs - van de Graaf inequalities ([NC, Chapter 9]) to show that $P_{e,n}^*$ **decays exponentially fast** in n .
- What is the **optimal exponent**?
- More generally, what are the **achievable rate pairs**?

$$\left(\lim_n -\frac{1}{n} \log \alpha_n(T_n), -\frac{1}{n} \log \beta_n(T_n) \right)$$

Binary state discrimination

- **Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{ \alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n) \} \\ &= \min \{ \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n} (I - T) + e^{nc} \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n} T \} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Binary state discrimination

- Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{ \alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n) \} \\ &= \min \{ \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n} (I - T) + e^{nc} \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n} T \} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- Exercise 50.** Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{ \text{Tr } A(I - T) + \text{Tr } BT : 0 \leq T \leq I \} &= \text{Tr } \min_{\text{Tr}} \{ A, B \}, \quad \text{and} \\ \min_{\text{Tr}} \{ A, B \} &= A - (A - B)_+ = B - (A - B)_- = \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|). \end{aligned}$$

Not necessarily PSD!

Binary state discrimination

- Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{ \alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n) \} \\ &= \min \{ \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n} (I - T) + e^{nc} \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n} T \} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- Exercise 50.** Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{ \text{Tr } A(I - T) + \text{Tr } BT : 0 \leq T \leq I \} &= \text{Tr } \min_{\text{Tr}} \{ A, B \}, \quad \text{and} \\ \min_{\text{Tr}} \{ A, B \} &= A - (A - B)_+ = B - (A - B)_- = \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|). \end{aligned}$$

Not necessarily PSD!

Moreover, T is optimal iff $\{A - B > 0\} \leq T \leq \{A - B \geq 0\}$.

$$(\text{For } X^* = X = \sum_i x_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|: \quad \{X \geq c\} := \sum_{i: x_i \geq c} |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|.)$$

Binary state discrimination

- Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{ \alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n) \} \\ &= \min \{ \text{Tr } \varrho^{\otimes n} (I - T) + e^{nc} \text{Tr } \sigma^{\otimes n} T \} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- Exercise 50.** Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{ \text{Tr } A(I - T) + \text{Tr } BT : 0 \leq T \leq I \} &= \text{Tr } \min_{\text{Tr}} \{ A, B \}, \quad \text{and} \\ \min_{\text{Tr}} \{ A, B \} &= A - (A - B)_+ = B - (A - B)_- = \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|). \end{aligned}$$

Not necessarily PSD!

Moreover, T is optimal iff $\{A - B > 0\} \leq T \leq \{A - B \geq 0\}$.

(For $X^* = X = \sum_i x_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$: $\{X \geq c\} := \sum_{i: x_i \geq c} |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$.)

- Min. in (1): **Neyman-Pearson test** $T_{n,c} := \{ \varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n} \geq 0 \}$

Binary state discrimination

- Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{\alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n)\} \\&= \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c})\end{aligned}$$

- Exercise 50.** Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. Give a direct proof that

$$\begin{aligned}\min\{\mathrm{Tr} A(I - T) + \mathrm{Tr} BT : 0 \leq T \leq I\} &= \mathrm{Tr} \min_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \min_{\mathrm{Tr}}\{A, B\} &= A - (A - B)_+ = B - (A - B)_- = \frac{1}{2}(A + B - |A - B|).\end{aligned}$$

Not necessarily PSD!

Moreover, T is optimal iff $\{A - B > 0\} \leq T \leq \{A - B \geq 0\}$.

(For $X^* = X = \sum_i x_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$: $\{X \geq c\} := \sum_{i: x_i \geq c} |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$.)

- Min. in (1): **Neyman-Pearson test** $T_{n,c} := \{\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n} \geq 0\}$

Binary state discrimination

- **Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{ \alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n) \} \\ &= \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c}) \end{aligned}$$

Binary state discrimination

- **Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{ \alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n) \} \\ &= \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c})\end{aligned}$$

- **Neyman-Pearson lemma:** Among test sequences with a given type II error rate, the Neyman-Pearson tests have the best type I error rate.

Binary state discrimination

- **Idea:** Adjust the balance between the rates by a parameter $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}e_n(c) &:= \min_{0 \leq T \leq I} \{\alpha_n(T_n) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_n)\} \\ &= \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c})\end{aligned}$$

- **Neyman-Pearson lemma:** Among test sequences with a given type II error rate, the Neyman-Pearson tests have the best type I error rate.

Basis of the **information spectrum method**.

Binary state discrimination

- Classical case: ϱ, σ functions on \mathcal{X}

$$T_{n,c} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\varrho^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})}{\sigma^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})} \geq c \right\}$$

modified maximum likelihood test, log-likelihood ratio test.

Binary state discrimination

- Classical case: ϱ, σ functions on \mathcal{X}

$$T_{n,c} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\varrho^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})}{\sigma^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})} \geq c \right\}$$

modified maximum likelihood test, log-likelihood ratio test.

- Markov inequality: $\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{c(\alpha-1) - (\alpha-1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)\}}$

$$\beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{c\alpha - (\alpha-1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)\}}$$

$$D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \sum_x \varrho(x)^\alpha \sigma(x)^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{classical Rényi divergences}$$

Binary state discrimination

- Classical case: ϱ, σ functions on \mathcal{X}

$$T_{n,c} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\varrho^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})}{\sigma^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})} \geq c \right\}$$

modified maximum likelihood test, log-likelihood ratio test.

- Markov inequality: $\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{c(\alpha-1) - (\alpha-1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)\}}$

$$\beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{c\alpha - (\alpha-1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)\}}$$

$$D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \sum_x \varrho(x)^\alpha \sigma(x)^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{classical Rényi divergences}$$

Cramér's large deviation theorem: The bounds are sharp in the asymptotics.

Binary state discrimination

- Classical case: ϱ, σ functions on \mathcal{X}

$$T_{n,c} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\varrho^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})}{\sigma^{\otimes n}(\underline{x})} \geq c \right\}$$

modified **maximum likelihood test**, **log-likelihood ratio test**.

- Markov inequality: $\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{c(\alpha-1) - (\alpha-1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)\}}$

$$\beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{c\alpha - (\alpha-1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)\}}$$

$$D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \sum_x \varrho(x)^\alpha \sigma(x)^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{classical Rényi divergences}$$

Cramér's **large deviation theorem**: The bounds are sharp in the asymptotics.

- The classical Rényi divergences appear as the **logarithmic moment generating function** for the log-likelihood ratio test.

Operator monotone functions

Reminder: $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ operator monotone if

$$0 \leq A \leq B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \implies f(A) \leq f(B).$$

$$t \mapsto t^\alpha \text{ operator monotone} \iff \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

[Bhatia]

Operator monotone functions

Reminder: $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ **operator monotone** if

$$0 \leq A \leq B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \implies f(A) \leq f(B).$$

$$t \mapsto t^\alpha \text{ operator monotone} \iff \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

[Bhatia]

Exercise 51. Prove that $t \mapsto t^\alpha$ is not operator monotone for $\alpha > 1$.

Hint: Use 2×2 matrices.

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

$$\mathrm{Tr} A - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} = \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha A^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} A - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha A^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha (B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} A - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha A^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha (B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} A - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha A^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha (B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha} ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} A - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha A^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha (B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha} ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} B + \mathrm{Tr} (A - B)_+ - \mathrm{Tr} (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha} B^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} A - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha A^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha (B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha} ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} B + \mathrm{Tr} (A - B)_+ - \mathrm{Tr} (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha} B^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} (A - B)_+ + \mathrm{Tr} [B^{\alpha} - (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha}] B^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Proof: (N. Ozawa) $A \leq A + (A - B)_- = B + (A - B)_+ \geq B$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} A - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha A^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha (B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha} ((B + (A - B)_+)^{1-\alpha} - B^{1-\alpha}) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} B + \mathrm{Tr} (A - B)_+ - \mathrm{Tr} (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha} B^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} (A - B)_+ + \mathrm{Tr} [B^{\alpha} - (B + (A - B)_+)^{\alpha}] B^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr} (A - B)_+. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, and noting that $A - (A - B)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|)$, we get the desired inequality.

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Apply the above to $A := \varrho^{\otimes n}$ and $B := e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\alpha_n(T_{n,c}), e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c})\} \\ & \leq \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c}) = \frac{1 + e^{nc}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Apply the above to $A := \varrho^{\otimes n}$ and $B := e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\alpha_n(T_{n,c}), e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c})\} \\ & \leq \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c}) = \frac{1 + e^{nc}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \\ & \leq \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \mathrm{Tr} (\varrho^{\otimes n})^\alpha (e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n})^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Apply the above to $A := \varrho^{\otimes n}$ and $B := e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\alpha_n(T_{n,c}), e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c})\} \\ & \leq \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c}) = \frac{1 + e^{nc}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \\ & \leq \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \mathrm{Tr} (\varrho^{\otimes n})^\alpha (e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n})^{1-\alpha} = e^{-n \sup_{1 < \alpha < 1} \{c(\alpha-1) - \psi(\alpha)\}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi(\alpha) := \log \mathrm{Tr} \varrho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}, \quad \varphi(c) := \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \{c\alpha - \psi(\alpha)\} \quad \text{Legendre transform}$$

Quantum large deviation bound

Theorem 52. (Audenaert's inequality)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (A + B - |A - B|) \leq \mathrm{Tr} A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Apply the above to $A := \varrho^{\otimes n}$ and $B := e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\alpha_n(T_{n,c}), e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c})\} \\ & \leq \alpha_n(T_{n,c}) + e^{nc} \beta_n(T_{n,c}) = \frac{1 + e^{nc}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n}\|_1 \\ & \leq \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \mathrm{Tr} (\varrho^{\otimes n})^\alpha (e^{nc} \sigma^{\otimes n})^{1-\alpha} = e^{-n \sup_{1 < \alpha < 1} \{c(\alpha-1) - \psi(\alpha)\}} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 53.

$$\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n(\varphi(c)-c)} \quad \beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n\varphi(c)}$$

$$\psi(\alpha) := \log \mathrm{Tr} \varrho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}, \quad \varphi(c) := \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \{c\alpha - \psi(\alpha)\} \quad \text{Legendre transform}$$

Achievability bound for the direct exponent

Corollary 53.

$$\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n(\varphi(c)-c)} \quad \beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n\varphi(c)}$$

Achievability bound for the direct exponent

Corollary 53.

$$\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n(\varphi(c)-c)} \quad \beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n\varphi(c)}$$

Direct error exponent:

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) \quad := \quad \sup_{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_n(I - T_n) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

Achievability bound for the direct exponent

Corollary 53.

$$\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n(\varphi(c)-c)} \quad \beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n\varphi(c)}$$

Direct error exponent:

$$\begin{aligned} d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) &:= \sup_{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_n(I - T_n) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\} \\ &\geq \sup_c \{ \varphi(c) - c : \varphi(c) > r \} \end{aligned}$$

Achievability bound for the direct exponent

Corollary 53.

$$\alpha_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n(\varphi(c)-c)} \quad \beta_n(T_{n,c}) \leq e^{-n\varphi(c)}$$

Direct error exponent:

$$\begin{aligned} d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) &:= \sup_{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho_n (I - T_n) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\} \\ &\geq \sup_c \{ \varphi(c) - c : \varphi(c) > r \} \\ &\stackrel{\text{exercise}}{=} \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] \end{aligned}$$

(Petz-type) Rényi divergences:

$$D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\operatorname{Tr} \varrho} \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Optimality bound for the direct exponent

- Nussbaum-Szkoła distributions: ([NSz09])

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho = \sum_i r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \\ \sigma = \sum_j s_j |f_j\rangle\langle f_j| \end{array} \right\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(i, j) := r_i |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2, \\ q(i, j) := s_j |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2 \end{array} \right.$$

Optimality bound for the direct exponent

- Nussbaum-Szkoła distributions: ([NSz09])

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho = \sum_i r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \\ \sigma = \sum_j s_j |f_j\rangle\langle f_j| \end{array} \right\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(i, j) := r_i |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2, \\ q(i, j) := s_j |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2 \end{array} \right.$$

- Observation: $D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_\alpha(p\|q)$

Optimality bound for the direct exponent

- Nussbaum-Szkoła distributions: ([NSz09])

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho = \sum_i r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \\ \sigma = \sum_j s_j |f_j\rangle\langle f_j| \end{array} \right\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(i, j) := r_i |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2, \\ q(i, j) := s_j |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2 \end{array} \right.$$

- Observation: $D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_\alpha(p\|q)$
- Optimality bound for the direct exponent: ([NSz09, Nagaoka06])

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) \leq d_r(p\|q)$$

Optimality bound for the direct exponent

- Nussbaum-Szkoła distributions: ([NSz09])

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho = \sum_i r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \\ \sigma = \sum_j s_j |f_j\rangle\langle f_j| \end{array} \right\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(i, j) := r_i |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2, \\ q(i, j) := s_j |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2 \end{array} \right.$$

- Observation: $D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_\alpha(p\|q)$
- Optimality bound for the direct exponent: ([NSz09, Nagaoka06])

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) \leq d_r(p\|q) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(p\|q)]$$

Optimality bound for the direct exponent

- Nussbaum-Szkoła distributions: ([NSz09])

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varrho = \sum_i r_i |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| \\ \sigma = \sum_j s_j |f_j\rangle\langle f_j| \end{array} \right\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(i, j) := r_i |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2, \\ q(i, j) := s_j |\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2 \end{array} \right.$$

- Observation: $D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_\alpha(p\|q)$

- Optimality bound for the direct exponent: ([NSz09, Nagaoka06])

$$\begin{aligned} d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) \leq d_r(p\|q) &= \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(p\|q)] \\ &= \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] . \end{aligned}$$

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

- **Operational interpretation** of the Petz-type Rényi divergences for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

- **Operational interpretation** of the Petz-type Rényi divergences for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
- More explicit operational interpretation for a fixed α : **generalized cutoff rates** [Csiszár95, MH11].

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

- **Operational interpretation** of the Petz-type Rényi divergences for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
- More explicit operational interpretation for a fixed α : **generalized cutoff rates** [Csiszár95, MH11].

Exercise 55. Prove that $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is convex. Write it as a Legendre transform, and use the bipolar theorem to prove

$$D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{r > 0} \left\{ r - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) \right\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

- **Operational interpretation** of the Petz-type Rényi divergences for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
- More explicit operational interpretation for a fixed α : **generalized cutoff rates** [Csiszár95, MH11].

Exercise 55. Prove that $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is convex. Write it as a Legendre transform, and use the bipolar theorem to prove

$$D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{r > 0} \left\{ r - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) \right\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Use this to prove that D_α is **monotone** under CPTP maps for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

- **Operational interpretation** of the Petz-type Rényi divergences for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
- More explicit operational interpretation for a fixed α : **generalized cutoff rates** [Csiszár95, MH11].

Exercise 55. Prove that $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is convex. Write it as a Legendre transform, and use the bipolar theorem to prove

$$D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{r > 0} \left\{ r - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) \right\}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Use this to prove that D_α is monotone under CPTP maps for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Fully operational proof of the monotonicity of D_α .

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] .$$

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Exercise 56. Use the convexity of $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ to conclude that $\alpha \mapsto D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is monotone increasing. Show that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_1(\varrho\|\sigma) \quad (= \text{Umegaki relative entropy}).$$

Conclude that D_1 is monotone under CPTP maps.

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Exercise 56. Use the convexity of $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ to conclude that $\alpha \mapsto D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is monotone increasing. Show that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_1(\varrho\|\sigma) \quad (= \text{Umegaki relative entropy}).$$

Conclude that D_1 is monotone under CPTP maps.

Corollary 57. $d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) > 0 \iff r < D_1(\varrho\|\sigma).$

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Exercise 56. Use the convexity of $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ to conclude that $\alpha \mapsto D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is monotone increasing. Show that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_1(\varrho\|\sigma) \quad (= \text{Umegaki relative entropy}).$$

Conclude that D_1 is monotone under CPTP maps.

Corollary 57. $d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) > 0 \iff r < D_1(\varrho\|\sigma).$

Corollary 58. For every type II error rate $r < D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, the optimal type I error goes to 0.

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Exercise 56. Use the convexity of $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ to conclude that $\alpha \mapsto D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is monotone increasing. Show that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_1(\varrho\|\sigma) \quad (= \text{Umegaki relative entropy}).$$

Conclude that D_1 is monotone under CPTP maps.

Corollary 57. $d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) > 0 \iff r < D_1(\varrho\|\sigma).$

Corollary 58. For every type II error rate $r < D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, the optimal type I error goes to 0. **Direct part of Stein's lemma.**

Quantum Hoeffding bound theorem

Theorem 54. For every $r > 0$,

$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Exercise 56. Use the convexity of $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha - 1)D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ to conclude that $\alpha \mapsto D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is monotone increasing. Show that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_1(\varrho\|\sigma) \quad (= \text{Umegaki relative entropy}).$$

Conclude that D_1 is monotone under CPTP maps.

Corollary 57. $d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) > 0 \iff r < D_1(\varrho\|\sigma).$

Corollary 58. For every type II error rate $r < D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, the optimal type I error goes to 0. **Direct part of Stein's lemma.**

What about $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$? Operational interpretation of D_α for $\alpha > 1$?

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast.

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. Strong converse property.

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. **Strong converse property.**
- **Strong converse exponent:**

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) := \inf_{(T_n)_n} \left\{ \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \alpha_n(T_n)) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. **Strong converse property.**
- **Strong converse exponent:**

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) := \inf_{(T_n)_n} \left\{ \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \alpha_n(T_n)) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

- Classically:
$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] \quad (2)$$

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. **Strong converse property.**
- **Strong converse exponent:**

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) := \inf_{(T_n)_n} \left\{ \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \alpha_n(T_n)) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

- Classically: $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] \quad (2)$
- **Exercise 59.** Prove that if (2) held in the quantum case then D_α would be monotone for every $\alpha > 1$

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. **Strong converse property.**
- Strong converse exponent:**

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) := \inf_{(T_n)_n} \left\{ \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \alpha_n(T_n)) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

- Classically: $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] \quad (2)$
- Exercise 59.** Prove that if (2) held in the quantum case then D_α would be monotone for every $\alpha > 1$
 $\implies Q_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \text{Tr } \varrho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}$ would be jointly convex

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. **Strong converse property.**
- **Strong converse exponent:**

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) := \inf_{(T_n)_n} \left\{ \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \alpha_n(T_n)) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

- Classically: $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] \quad (2)$
- **Exercise 59.** Prove that if (2) held in the quantum case then D_α would be monotone for every $\alpha > 1$
 $\implies Q_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \text{Tr } \varrho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}$ would be jointly convex
 $\implies t \mapsto t^\alpha$ would be operator convex, for all $\alpha > 1$.

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. **Strong converse property.**
- Strong converse exponent:**

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) := \inf_{(T_n)_n} \left\{ \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \alpha_n(T_n)) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

- Classically: $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] \quad (2)$
- Exercise 59.** Prove that if (2) held in the quantum case then D_α would be monotone for every $\alpha > 1$
 $\implies Q_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \text{Tr } \varrho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}$ would be jointly convex
 $\implies t \mapsto t^\alpha$ would be operator convex, for all $\alpha > 1$.
- However, $t \mapsto t^\alpha$ is not operator convex for $t > 2$ [Bhatia]

Strong converse exponent

- For type II rates $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, we expect the type I error to go to 1 exponentially fast. **Strong converse property.**
- Strong converse exponent:**

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) := \inf_{(T_n)_n} \left\{ \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \alpha_n(T_n)) : \beta_n(T_n) \leq e^{-nr} \right\}$$

- Classically: $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma)] \quad (2)$
- Exercise 59.** Prove that if (2) held in the quantum case then D_α would be monotone for every $\alpha > 1$
 $\implies Q_\alpha(\varrho\|\sigma) := \text{Tr } \varrho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha}$ would be jointly convex
 $\implies t \mapsto t^\alpha$ would be operator convex, for all $\alpha > 1$.
- However, $t \mapsto t^\alpha$ is not operator convex for $t > 2$ [Bhatia]
 $\implies (2)$ cannot hold with the Petz-type Rényi divergences.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

- Reminder:
$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

- Reminder:
$$d_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$
- Operationally motivated definition of quantum Rényi divergence:

$$D_{\alpha}^{(q)} := \begin{cases} D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma), & \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma), & \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

- Remark: Monotonicity is used in the proof (following Nagaoka's method), and had been proved by various matrix analytic methods. ([Beigi13, FL13])

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

- Proposition 61. ([MLDSzFT13])

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_{\infty}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

- Proposition 61. ([MLDSzFT13])

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_{\infty}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)$$

- Remark: $D_{1/2}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) = -2 \log F(\varrho\|\sigma) + 2 \log \text{Tr } \varrho$ as before.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

- Proposition 61. ([MLDSzFT13])

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_{\infty}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)$$

- Remark: $D_{1/2}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) = -2 \log F(\varrho\|\sigma) + 2 \log \text{Tr } \varrho$ as before.

No operational interpretation.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

- Relation of the two Rényi divergences:

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) \geq D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) \quad \text{Araki-Lieb-Thirring inequality}$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

- Relation of the two Rényi divergences:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) &\geq D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) && \text{Araki-Lieb-Thirring inequality} \\ &\geq \alpha D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma), \quad \alpha \in [0, 1] && ([IRS17]) \end{aligned}$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$.

- Relation of the two Rényi divergences:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) &\geq D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) && \text{Araki-Lieb-Thirring inequality} \\ &\geq \alpha D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma), \quad \alpha \in [0, 1] && ([IRS17]) \end{aligned}$$

- Corollary: $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) = D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$. (Also by direct calculation.)

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

- Proposition 62. $\alpha \mapsto D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is also monotone.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

- Proposition 62. $\alpha \mapsto D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is also monotone.
- Corollary 63. $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) > 0 \iff r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma).$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

- Proposition 62. $\alpha \mapsto D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is also monotone.
- Corollary 63. $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) > 0 \iff r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$.
- Corollary 64. For every type II error rate $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, the optimal type I error goes to 1.

Sandwiched Rényi divergences

- Sandwiched Rényi divergences: ([WWY13, MLDSzFT13])

$$D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr} \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

- Theorem 60. ([MO15])

$$sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)].$$

Operational interpretation of D_{α}^* for $\alpha > 1$

- Proposition 62. $\alpha \mapsto D_{\alpha}^*(\varrho\|\sigma)$ is also monotone.
- Corollary 63. $sc_r(\varrho\|\sigma) > 0 \iff r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$.
- Corollary 64. For every type II error rate $r > D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$, the optimal type I error goes to 1.

Strong converse part of Stein's lemma.

Theorem 65. Quantum Stein's lemma ([HP91, ON00])

The optimal rate of the type II error under the assumption that the type I error goes to 0 is the relative entropy $D_1(\varrho\|\sigma)$.

Operational interpretation of the relative entropy.

VI. Rényi information measures

Properties of the Rényi divergences

Definition: (Reminder)

(Petz-type) Rényi divergences:

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr } \varrho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences:

$$D_{\alpha}^{*}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Properties of the Rényi divergences

Definition: (Reminder)

(Petz-type) Rényi divergences:

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr } \varrho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched Rényi divergences:

$$D_{\alpha}^{*}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr } \varrho} \text{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 66. They are strictly positive, additive, stable, and satisfy the logarithmic scaling property for all $\alpha > 0$.

Properties of the Rényi divergences

Definition:

(Petz-type) trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}^{*}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Properties of the Rényi divergences

Definition:

(Petz-type) trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}^{*}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 67. They are homogenous and have the direct sum property for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Properties of the Rényi divergences

Definition:

(Petz-type) trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}^{*}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 67. They are homogenous and have the direct sum property for all $\alpha \geq 0$. Hence $Q_{\alpha}^{\#}$ jointly convex \iff monotone

Properties of the Rényi divergences

Definition:

(Petz-type) trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}^{*}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 67. They are homogenous and have the direct sum property for all $\alpha \geq 0$. Hence $Q_{\alpha}^{\#}$ jointly convex \iff monotone $\iff D_{\alpha}^{\#}$ monotone.

Properties of the Rényi divergences

Definition:

(Petz-type) trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 1] \text{ or } \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0, \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Sandwiched trace quantities:

$$Q_{\alpha}^{*}(\varrho\|\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha - 1) \operatorname{Tr} \left(\varrho^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varrho^{1/2} \right)^{\alpha}, & \varrho^0 \leq \sigma^0 \text{ or } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 67. They are homogenous and have the direct sum property for all $\alpha \geq 0$. Hence $Q_{\alpha}^{\#}$ jointly convex \iff monotone $\iff D_{\alpha}^{\#}$ monotone.

Theorem 68. D_{α} monotone $\iff \alpha \in [0, 2]$,

$$D_{\alpha}^{*} \text{ monotone} \iff \alpha \in [1/2, +\infty]. \quad ([\text{Tomamichel}])$$

Rényi entropies

Definition 69. Rényi α -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_\alpha(A)_\varrho \quad := \quad -D_\alpha(\varrho \| I_A)$$

Definition 69. Rényi α -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$H_\alpha(A)_\varrho \quad := \quad -D_\alpha(\varrho \| I_A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^\alpha$$

Definition 69. Rényi α -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(A)_\varrho &:= -D_\alpha(\varrho \| I_A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^\alpha \\ &= -D_\alpha^*(\varrho \| I_A) =: H_\alpha^*(A)_\varrho \end{aligned}$$

Definition 69. Rényi α -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(A)_\varrho &:= -D_\alpha(\varrho \| I_A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^\alpha \\ &= -D_\alpha^*(\varrho \| I_A) =: H_\alpha^*(A)_\varrho \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 70. $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} H_\alpha(A)_\varrho = H_1(A)_\varrho = -\operatorname{Tr} \varrho \log \varrho$ von Neumann entropy.

Definition 69. Rényi α -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(A)_\varrho &:= -D_\alpha(\varrho \| I_A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^\alpha \\ &= -D_\alpha^*(\varrho \| I_A) =: H_\alpha^*(A)_\varrho \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 70. $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} H_\alpha(A)_\varrho = H_1(A)_\varrho = -\operatorname{Tr} \varrho \log \varrho$ von Neumann entropy.

Exercise 71. $\forall \alpha \in [0, +\infty]$ H_α is additive, Schur-concave,

$$0 \leq H_\alpha(A)_\varrho \leq \log |A|,$$

with equality for pure/maximally mixed states, respectively.

Definition 69. Rényi α -entropy of a state $\varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(A)_\varrho &:= -D_\alpha(\varrho \| I_A) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^\alpha \\ &= -D_\alpha^*(\varrho \| I_A) =: H_\alpha^*(A)_\varrho \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 70. $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} H_\alpha(A)_\varrho = H_1(A)_\varrho = -\operatorname{Tr} \varrho \log \varrho$ von Neumann entropy.

Exercise 71. $\forall \alpha \in [0, +\infty]$ H_α is additive, Schur-concave,

$$0 \leq H_\alpha(A)_\varrho \leq \log |A|,$$

with equality for pure/maximally mixed states, respectively.

Exercise 72. H_α is concave $\iff \alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Quantum state compression

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information content of a (mixed) quantum state ϱ ?

Quantum state compression

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information content of a (mixed) quantum state ϱ ?
- **Ensemble picture:** $\varrho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$

Quantum state compression

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information content of a (mixed) quantum state ϱ ?
- **Ensemble picture:** $\varrho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$

Quantum source emits signal sequences $\psi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{i_n}$ with probability $p_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i_n}$

Quantum state compression

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information content of a (mixed) quantum state ϱ ?
- **Ensemble picture:** $\varrho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$

Quantum source emits signal sequences $\psi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{i_n}$ with probability $p_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i_n}$

Encoding/compression: $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$ CPTP

Decoding: $\mathcal{D}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ CPTP

Quantum state compression

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information content of a (mixed) quantum state ϱ ?
- **Ensemble picture:** $\varrho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$

Quantum source emits signal sequences $\psi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{i_n}$ with probability $p_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i_n}$

Encoding/compression: $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$ CPTP

Decoding: $\mathcal{D}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ CPTP

Fidelity criterion:

$$\hat{F}_n^e := \sum_i p_i (1 - F(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \| (\mathcal{D}_n \circ \mathcal{E}_n)(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|)))$$

Quantum state compression

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information content of a (mixed) quantum state ϱ ?
- **Ensemble picture:** $\varrho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$

Quantum source emits signal sequences $\psi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{i_n}$ with probability $p_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i_n}$

Encoding/compression: $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$ CPTP

Decoding: $\mathcal{D}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ CPTP

Fidelity criterion:

$$\hat{F}_n^e := \sum_i p_i (1 - F(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \| (\mathcal{D}_n \circ \mathcal{E}_n)(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|)))$$

- **Purification picture:** ϱ is the marginal of $|\psi_\varrho\rangle$ on RA

Quantum state compression

- **Problem:** How to quantify the information content of a (mixed) quantum state ϱ ?
- **Ensemble picture:** $\varrho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$

Quantum source emits signal sequences $\psi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{i_n}$ with probability $p_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i_n}$

Encoding/compression: $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$ CPTP

Decoding: $\mathcal{D}_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ CPTP

Fidelity criterion:

$$\hat{F}_n^e := \sum_i p_i (1 - F(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \| (\mathcal{D}_n \circ \mathcal{E}_n)(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|)))$$

- **Purification picture:** ϱ is the marginal of $|\psi_\varrho\rangle$ on RA

Encoding and decoding as above.

Fidelity criterion: $\hat{F}_n^p := 1 - F(|\psi_\varrho\rangle\langle\psi_\varrho| \| (\text{id}_R \otimes \mathcal{D}_n \circ \mathcal{E}_n)|\psi_\varrho\rangle\langle\psi_\varrho|)$

Quantum state compression

- **Idea:** Look at the problem as state discrimination between ϱ and π_A .

Use the Neyman-Pearson projections $T_{n,c} = \{\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc}\pi_A^{\otimes n} > 0\}$ to compress as

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\cdot) := T_{n,c}(\cdot)T_{n,c} + |0\rangle\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)(I - T_{n,c}), \quad \operatorname{ran} T_{n,c} \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$$

Decoding trivial.

Quantum state compression

- **Idea:** Look at the problem as state discrimination between ρ and π_A .

Use the Neyman-Pearson projections $T_{n,c} = \{\rho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc}\pi_A^{\otimes n} > 0\}$ to compress as

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\cdot) := T_{n,c}(\cdot)T_{n,c} + |0\rangle\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)(I - T_{n,c}), \quad \operatorname{ran} T_{n,c} \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$$

Decoding trivial.

- **Exercise 73.** Show that $\hat{F}_n^e \leq \hat{F}_n^p \leq \operatorname{Tr} \rho^{\otimes n}(I - T_{n,c}) = \alpha_n(T_{n,c})$

Quantum state compression

- **Idea:** Look at the problem as state discrimination between ϱ and π_A .

Use the Neyman-Pearson projections $T_{n,c} = \{\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc}\pi_A^{\otimes n} > 0\}$ to compress as

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\cdot) := T_{n,c}(\cdot)T_{n,c} + |0\rangle\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)(I - T_{n,c}), \quad \operatorname{ran} T_{n,c} \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$$

Decoding trivial.

- **Exercise 73.** Show that $\hat{F}_n^e \leq \hat{F}_n^p \leq \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\otimes n}(I - T_{n,c}) = \alpha_n(T_{n,c})$
- **Corollary 74.** For every coding rate $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k_n}{n}$,

$$F_n^e \leq \hat{F}_n^p \leq e^{-n \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} [-R + H_\alpha(A)_\varrho]}$$

Quantum state compression

- Idea:** Look at the problem as state discrimination between ϱ and π_A .

Use the Neyman-Pearson projections $T_{n,c} = \{\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc}\pi_A^{\otimes n} > 0\}$ to compress as

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\cdot) := T_{n,c}(\cdot)T_{n,c} + |0\rangle\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)(I - T_{n,c}), \quad \operatorname{ran} T_{n,c} \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$$

Decoding trivial.

- Exercise 73.** Show that $\hat{F}_n^e \leq \hat{F}_n^p \leq \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\otimes n}(I - T_{n,c}) = \alpha_n(T_{n,c})$
- Corollary 74.** For every coding rate $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k_n}{n}$,

$$F_n^e \leq \hat{F}_n^p \leq e^{-n \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} [-R + H_\alpha(A)_\varrho]}$$

- Theorem 75.** ([Hayashi02]) This is sharp, the exact direct error exponent is

$$d(R) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [-R + H_\alpha(A)_\varrho], \quad R > 0.$$

Quantum state compression

- **Idea:** Look at the problem as state discrimination between ϱ and π_A .

Use the Neyman-Pearson projections $T_{n,c} = \{\varrho^{\otimes n} - e^{nc}\pi_A^{\otimes n} > 0\}$ to compress as

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\cdot) := T_{n,c}(\cdot)T_{n,c} + |0\rangle\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)(I - T_{n,c}), \quad \operatorname{ran} T_{n,c} \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes k_n}$$

Decoding trivial.

- **Exercise 73.** Show that $\hat{F}_n^e \leq \hat{F}_n^p \leq \operatorname{Tr} \varrho^{\otimes n}(I - T_{n,c}) = \alpha_n(T_{n,c})$
- **Corollary 74.** For every coding rate $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k_n}{n}$,

$$F_n^e \leq \hat{F}_n^p \leq e^{-n \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} [-R + H_\alpha(A)_\varrho]}$$

- **Theorem 75.** ([Hayashi02]) This is sharp, the exact direct error exponent is (Operational interpretation of $H_\alpha(A)_\varrho$)

$$d(R) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [-R + H_\alpha(A)_\varrho], \quad R > 0.$$

- **Theorem 75.** ([Hayashi02]) This is sharp, the exact direct error exponent is

$$d(R) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [-R + H_{\alpha}(A)_{\varrho}], \quad R > 0.$$

- **Theorem 75.** ([Hayashi02]) This is sharp, the exact direct error exponent is

$$d(R) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [-R + H_{\alpha}(A)_{\varrho}], \quad R > 0.$$

- Strong converse: $\hat{F}_n^e \rightarrow 1$ for $R > H_1(A)_{\varrho}$.

Schumacher compression, operational interpretation of the von Neumann entropy.

- **Theorem 75.** ([Hayashi02]) This is sharp, the exact direct error exponent is

$$d(R) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [-R + H_{\alpha}(A)_{\varrho}], \quad R > 0.$$

- Strong converse: $\hat{F}_n^e \rightarrow 1$ for $R > H_1(A)_{\varrho}$.

Schumacher compression, [operational interpretation of the von Neumann entropy](#).

- The strong converse exponent is not known.

Entanglement concentration

- **Goal:** Extract k_n copies of EPR pairs from n copies of

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_x \sqrt{P(x)} |x\rangle_A |x\rangle_B$$

using LOCC operations.

Entanglement concentration

- **Goal:** Extract k_n copies of EPR pairs from n copies of

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_x \sqrt{P(x)} |x\rangle_A |x\rangle_B$$

using LOCC operations.

- **Theorem 76.** ([HKMMW02]) Direct exponent: Success probability goes to 1 with an exponent r , optimal extraction rate is

$$d(r) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \left\{ \frac{r}{1 - \alpha} + H_\alpha(A)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} \right\}$$

Entanglement concentration

- **Goal:** Extract k_n copies of EPR pairs from n copies of

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_x \sqrt{P(x)} |x\rangle_A |x\rangle_B$$

using LOCC operations.

- **Theorem 76.** ([HKMMW02]) Direct exponent: Success probability goes to 1 with an exponent r , optimal extraction rate is

$$d(r) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \left\{ \frac{r}{1 - \alpha} + H_\alpha(A)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} \right\}$$

Strong converse exponent: Success probability goes to 0 with an exponent r , optimal extraction rate is

$$sc(r) = \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha r}{1 - \alpha} + H_\alpha(A)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} \right\}$$

Extension to the problem of extracting k_n copies of $|\phi\rangle$ from n copies of $|\psi\rangle$:

$$sc(r) = \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha r + H_\alpha(A)_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}}{H_\alpha(A)_{|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|}} \right\}$$

Poster 61: Asger Kjaerulff Jensen, Péter Vrana, *Asymptotic LOCC transformations and the asymptotic spectrum*

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Reminder:

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} := - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Reminder:

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} := - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Quantum Sibson identity: ([SW13]) $\bar{\sigma} := \frac{(\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}}{\text{Tr}(\dots)}$

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} + D_{\alpha}(\bar{\sigma} \| \sigma_B),$$

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Reminder:

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} := - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Quantum Sibson identity: ([SW13]) $\bar{\sigma} := \frac{(\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}}{\text{Tr}(\dots)}$

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} + D_{\alpha}(\bar{\sigma} \| \sigma_B),$$

- Corollary: $H_{\alpha}(A|B)_{\varrho} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Reminder:

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} := - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Quantum Sibson identity: ([SW13]) $\bar{\sigma} := \frac{(\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}}{\text{Tr}(\dots)}$

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} + D_{\alpha}(\bar{\sigma} \| \sigma_B),$$

- Corollary: $H_{\alpha}(A|B)_{\varrho} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$ Additive.

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Reminder:

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} := - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Quantum Sibson identity: ([SW13]) $\bar{\sigma} := \frac{(\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}}{\text{Tr}(\dots)}$

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} + D_{\alpha}(\bar{\sigma} \| \sigma_B),$$

- Corollary: $H_{\alpha}(A|B)_{\varrho} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$ Additive.
- No such explicit expression for the conditional H_{α}^* .

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Reminder:

$$H_{\Delta}(A|B)_{\varrho} := - \inf_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)} \Delta(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

- Quantum Sibson identity: ([SW13]) $\bar{\sigma} := \frac{(\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}}{\text{Tr}(\dots)}$

$$D_{\alpha}(\varrho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} + D_{\alpha}(\bar{\sigma} \| \sigma_B),$$

- Corollary: $H_{\alpha}(A|B)_{\varrho} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \text{Tr} (\text{Tr}_A \varrho^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$ Additive.

- No such explicit expression for the conditional H_{α}^* . Additivity?

- Duality relations: ([Tomamichel])

$$H_{\alpha}^* \text{ and } H_{\beta}^* \text{ are dual for } \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 2, \alpha, \beta \in [1/2, +\infty]$$

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Duality relations: ([Tomamichel])

H_{α}^* and H_{β}^* are dual for $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [1/2, +\infty]$

H_{α}^{\downarrow} and H_{β}^{\downarrow} are dual for $\alpha + \beta = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 2]$

Conditional Rényi entropy

- Duality relations: ([Tomamichel])

H_α^* and H_β^* are dual for $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [1/2, +\infty]$

H_α^\downarrow and H_β^\downarrow are dual for $\alpha + \beta = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 2]$

H_α and $H_\beta^{*\downarrow}$ are dual for $\alpha\beta = 1$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, +\infty]$

Conditional Rényi entropy

- **Duality relations:** ([Tomamichel])

H_{α}^* and H_{β}^* are dual for $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [1/2, +\infty]$

H_{α}^{\downarrow} and H_{β}^{\downarrow} are dual for $\alpha + \beta = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 2]$

H_{α} and $H_{\beta}^{*\downarrow}$ are dual for $\alpha\beta = 1$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, +\infty]$

- **Corollary:** Conditional H_{α}^* is **additive** for $\alpha \in [1/2, +\infty]$.

Conditional Rényi entropy

- **Duality relations:** ([Tomamichel])

H_α^* and H_β^* are dual for $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [1/2, +\infty]$

H_α^\downarrow and H_β^\downarrow are dual for $\alpha + \beta = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 2]$

H_α and $H_\beta^{*\downarrow}$ are dual for $\alpha\beta = 1$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, +\infty]$

- **Corollary:** Conditional H_α^* is **additive** for $\alpha \in [1/2, +\infty]$.
- **Corollary:** Alternative proof for the additivity of the conditional H_α .

Conditional Rényi entropy

- **Duality relations:** ([Tomamichel])

H_{α}^* and H_{β}^* are dual for $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [1/2, +\infty]$

H_{α}^{\downarrow} and H_{β}^{\downarrow} are dual for $\alpha + \beta = 2$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 2]$

H_{α} and $H_{\beta}^{*\downarrow}$ are dual for $\alpha\beta = 1$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, +\infty]$

- **Corollary:** Conditional H_{α}^* is **additive** for $\alpha \in [1/2, +\infty]$.
- **Corollary:** Alternative proof for the additivity of the conditional H_{α} .
- **Additivity of the Rényi mutual informations** I_{α} and I_{α}^* can be established by similar techniques ([HT16]).

Conditional Rényi entropy

Operational interpretation of the conditional Rényi entropies: ([HT16])

State discrimination

$$\varrho_{AB}^{\otimes n} \quad \text{v.s.} \quad \pi_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$$

Conditional Rényi entropy

Operational interpretation of the conditional Rényi entropies: ([HT16])

State discrimination

$$\varrho_{AB}^{\otimes n} \quad \text{v.s.} \quad \pi_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$$

direct exponent:

$$d_r = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - \log |A| + H_\alpha(A|B)_\varrho]$$

strong converse exponent:

$$sc_r = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \left[r - \log |A| + H_\alpha^*(A|B)_\varrho \right]$$

Rényi mutual information

Operational interpretation of the Rényi mutual informations: ([HT16])

State discrimination

$$\varrho_{AB}^{\otimes n} \quad \text{v.s.} \quad \varrho_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$$

direct exponent:

$$d_r = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - I_\alpha(A : B)_\varrho]$$

strong converse exponent:

$$sc_r = \sup_{1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - I_\alpha^*(A : B)_\varrho]$$

Rényi divergence radii

- Classical-quantum channel: $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$

Rényi divergence radii

- Classical-quantum channel: $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$
- Weighted Rényi divergence radii: $P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{X})$

$$R_{\alpha, P}^{\#}(\text{ran } W) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) D_{\alpha}^{\#}(W(x) \| \sigma)$$

Rényi divergence radii

- Classical-quantum channel: $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$
- Weighted Rényi divergence radii: $P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{X})$

$$R_{\alpha,P}^{\#}(\text{ran } W) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) D_{\alpha}^{\#}(W(x) \| \sigma)$$

- **Theorem 77.** ([CGH18, MO18]) $\bar{\sigma}$ is a minimizer

$$\text{for } R_{\alpha,P} \text{ iff } \bar{\sigma} = \sum_x P(x) \frac{1}{Q_{\alpha}(W(x) \| \sigma)} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} W(x)^{\alpha} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}$$

and for $R_{\alpha,P}^*$ iff

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sum_x P(x) \frac{1}{Q_{\alpha}^*(W(x) \| \sigma)} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} W(x)^{1/2} \left[W(x)^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} W(x)^{1/2} \right]^{\alpha-1} W(x)^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}}$$

Rényi divergence radii

- Classical-quantum channel: $W : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$
- Weighted Rényi divergence radii: $P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{X})$

$$R_{\alpha,P}^{\#}(\text{ran } W) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) D_{\alpha}^{\#}(W(x) \| \sigma)$$

- **Theorem 77.** ([CGH18, MO18]) $\bar{\sigma}$ is a minimizer

$$\text{for } R_{\alpha,P} \text{ iff } \bar{\sigma} = \sum_x P(x) \frac{1}{Q_{\alpha}(W(x) \| \sigma)} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} W(x)^{\alpha} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}$$

and for $R_{\alpha,P}^*$ iff

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sum_x P(x) \frac{1}{Q_{\alpha}^*(W(x) \| \sigma)} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} W(x)^{1/2} \left[W(x)^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} W(x)^{1/2} \right]^{\alpha-1} W(x)^{1/2} \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}}$$

- **Corollary 78.** Additivity: $R_{\alpha,P \otimes n}^{\#}(\text{ran } W^{\otimes n}) = n R_{\alpha,P}^{\#}(\text{ran } W).$

Rényi divergence radii

- Constant composition coding: messages $1, \dots, M_n$

encoding: $k \mapsto \underline{x}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}^n$

decoding: POVM on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

Rényi divergence radii

- Constant composition coding: messages $1, \dots, M_n$

encoding: $k \mapsto \underline{x}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}^n$

decoding: POVM on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

for all k : $\frac{1}{n} \# \{i : x_i^{(k)} = x\} = P_n(x), \quad P_n \rightarrow P$

Rényi divergence radii

- Constant composition coding: messages $1, \dots, M_n$

encoding: $k \mapsto \underline{x}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}^n$

decoding: POVM on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

for all k : $\frac{1}{n} \#\{i : x_i^{(k)} = x\} = P_n(x), \quad P_n \rightarrow P$

- **Theorem 79.** ([MO18]) For all rates $R = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log M_n > R_{1,P}(\text{ran } W)$, the average success probability decays with an exponent

$$sc(R, W, P) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [R - R_{\alpha,P}^*(\text{ran } W)]$$

Operational interpretation of the weighted sandwiched Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha > 1$.

Rényi divergence radii

- Constant composition coding: messages $1, \dots, M_n$

encoding: $k \mapsto \underline{x}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}^n$

decoding: POVM on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

for all k : $\frac{1}{n} \#\{i : x_i^{(k)} = x\} = P_n(x), \quad P_n \rightarrow P$

- **Theorem 79.** ([MO18]) For all rates $R = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log M_n > R_{1,P}(\text{ran } W)$, the average success probability decays with an exponent

$$sc(R, W, P) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [R - R_{\alpha,P}^*(\text{ran } W)]$$

Operational interpretation of the weighted sandwiched Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha > 1$.

Additivity of the weighted divergence radius is crucial for the proof.

Rényi divergence radii

- Constant composition coding: messages $1, \dots, M_n$

encoding: $k \mapsto \underline{x}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}^n$

decoding: POVM on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

for all k : $\frac{1}{n} \# \{i : x_i^{(k)} = x\} = P_n(x), \quad P_n \rightarrow P$

Rényi divergence radii

- Constant composition coding: messages $1, \dots, M_n$

encoding: $k \mapsto \underline{x}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}^n$

decoding: POVM on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

for all k : $\frac{1}{n} \# \{i : x_i^{(k)} = x\} = P_n(x), \quad P_n \rightarrow P$

- **Theorem 80.** ([MO17]) Without composition constraint:

$$sc(R, W) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [R - R_{\alpha}^*(\text{ran } W)]$$

Operational interpretation of the sandwiched Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha > 1$.

Reminder:

$$R_{\alpha}^*(\text{ran } W) := \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_x D_{\alpha}^*(W(x) \| \sigma) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{X})} R_{\alpha, P}^*(\text{ran } W)$$

Rényi divergence radii

- What about the direct exponent and Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha < 1$?

Rényi divergence radii

- What about the direct exponent and Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha < 1$?
- Constant composition coding with rates

$$R = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log M_n < R_{1,P}(\text{ran } W):$$

The error probability goes to zero with a rate $d(R, W, P)$.

Rényi divergence radii

- What about the direct exponent and Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha < 1$?
- Constant composition coding with rates

$$R = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log M_n < R_{1,P}(\text{ran } W):$$

The error probability goes to zero with a rate $d(R, W, P)$.

Classical-quantum sphere packing bound: ([DW17])

$$d(R, W, P) \leq \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [R - R_{\alpha,P}(\text{ran } W)]$$

Rényi divergence radii

- What about the direct exponent and Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha < 1$?
- Constant composition coding with rates

$$R = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log M_n < R_{1,P}(\text{ran } W):$$

The error probability goes to zero with a rate $d(R, W, P)$.

Classical-quantum sphere packing bound: ([DW17])

$$d(R, W, P) \leq \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [R - R_{\alpha,P}(\text{ran } W)]$$

- Becomes $+\infty$ at $R_{0,P}(\text{ran } W)$.

Rényi divergence radii

- What about the direct exponent and Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha < 1$?
- Constant composition coding with rates

$$R = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log M_n < R_{1,P}(\text{ran } W):$$

The error probability goes to zero with a rate $d(R, W, P)$.

Classical-quantum sphere packing bound: ([DW17])

$$d(R, W, P) \leq \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [R - R_{\alpha,P}(\text{ran } W)]$$

- Becomes $+\infty$ at $R_{0,P}(\text{ran } W)$.
- Optimizing over all channels with the same confusability graph gives Marton's weighted version of the Lovász θ -function:

$$\theta(G, P) = \inf_W R_{0,P}(\text{ran } W).$$

Rényi divergence radii

- What about the direct exponent and Rényi divergence radii for $\alpha < 1$?
- Constant composition coding with rates

$$R = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \log M_n < R_{1,P}(\text{ran } W):$$

The error probability goes to zero with a rate $d(R, W, P)$.

Classical-quantum sphere packing bound: ([DW17])

$$d(R, W, P) \leq \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [R - R_{\alpha,P}(\text{ran } W)]$$

- Becomes $+\infty$ at $R_{0,P}(\text{ran } W)$.
- Optimizing over all channels with the same confusability graph gives Marton's weighted version of the Lovász θ -function:

$$\theta(G, P) = \inf_W R_{0,P}(\text{ran } W).$$

- Taking the maximum over all P gives the Lovász θ -function.

Channel divergences

- Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} : A \rightarrow B$ be CPTP, Δ a divergence. **Channel Δ divergence:**

$$\Delta(\mathcal{M} \parallel \mathcal{N}) := \sup_{\varrho_{RA}} \Delta((\text{id}_R \otimes M)\varrho_{RA} \parallel (\text{id}_R \otimes N)\varrho_{RA})$$

Channel divergences

- Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} : A \rightarrow B$ be CPTP, Δ a divergence. **Channel Δ divergence:**

$$\Delta(\mathcal{M} \parallel \mathcal{N}) := \sup_{\varrho_{RA}} \Delta((\text{id}_R \otimes M)\varrho_{RA} \parallel (\text{id}_R \otimes N)\varrho_{RA})$$

- When $\mathcal{M}(\cdot) = \varrho \text{Tr}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\cdot) = \sigma \text{Tr}(\cdot)$ are **replacer channels** then

$$\Delta(\mathcal{M} \parallel \mathcal{N}) = \Delta(\varrho \parallel \sigma)$$

for every stable Δ .

Channel divergences

- Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} : A \rightarrow B$ be CPTP, Δ a divergence. **Channel Δ divergence:**

$$\Delta(\mathcal{M} \parallel \mathcal{N}) := \sup_{\varrho_{RA}} \Delta((\text{id}_R \otimes M)\varrho_{RA} \parallel (\text{id}_R \otimes N)\varrho_{RA})$$

- When $\mathcal{M}(\cdot) = \varrho \text{Tr}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\cdot) = \sigma \text{Tr}(\cdot)$ are **replacer channels** then

$$\Delta(\mathcal{M} \parallel \mathcal{N}) = \Delta(\varrho \parallel \sigma)$$

for every stable Δ .

- The Rényi channel divergences give the direct and strong converse exponents in quantum channel discrimination if **only product strategies** are allowed.

- **Theorem 81.** ([CMW16, BHKW18]) For channel discrimination with adaptive strategies

$$sc_r(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N}) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N})]$$

if \mathcal{N} is a replacer channel, or both channels are classical-quantum.

Moreover, in these cases **Stein's lemma** holds with $D_1(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N})$ as exponent.

- **Theorem 81.** ([CMW16, BHKW18]) For channel discrimination with adaptive strategies

$$sc_r(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N}) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N})]$$

if \mathcal{N} is a replacer channel, or both channels are classical-quantum.

Moreover, in these cases **Stein's lemma** holds with $D_1(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N})$ as exponent.

- Other types of **information measures for channels?**

- **Theorem 81.** ([CMW16, BHKW18]) For channel discrimination with adaptive strategies

$$sc_r(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N}) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} [r - D_{\alpha}^*(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N})]$$

if \mathcal{N} is a replacer channel, or both channels are classical-quantum.

Moreover, in these cases **Stein's lemma** holds with $D_1(\mathcal{M}||\mathcal{N})$ as exponent.

- Other types of **information measures for channels?**

Poster 2: Gilad Gour, Mark Wilde, *Entropy of a quantum channel*

Further topics

- **Entropy and thermodynamics:** Characterization of possible state transitions in terms of Rényi entropies/ divergences.

Poster 182: Paul Boes, Jens Eisert, Rodrigo Gallego, Markus Mueller and Henrik Wilming, *Von Neumann entropy from unitarity*

Further topics

- **Entropy and thermodynamics:** Characterization of possible state transitions in terms of Rényi entropies/ divergences.

Poster 182: Paul Boes, Jens Eisert, Rodrigo Gallego, Markus Mueller and Henrik Wilming, *Von Neumann entropy from unitarity*

- **Smooth entropies**, applications in information theory, cryptography, thermodynamics.

Poster 94: Anurag Anshu, Mario Berta, Kun Fang, Rahul Jain, Marco Tomamichel and Xin Wang, *Smooth entropies for quantum channels and multipartite states*

Bibliography

- AM14 Koenraad M. R. Audenaert and Milán Mosonyi, *Upper bounds on the error probabilities and asymptotic error exponents in quantum multiple state discrimination* J. Math. Phys., 55, 102201, 2014, arXiv:1401.7658
- Beigi13 Salman Beigi, *Sandwiched Rényi Divergence Satisfies Data Processing Inequality*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 54:12, 122202, 2013
- BSW15 Mario Berta, Kaushik P. Seshadreesan, Mark M. Wilde, *Rényi generalizations of the conditional quantum mutual information*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 56:2, 022205, 2015
- BHKW18 Mario Berta, Christoph Hirche, Eneet Kaur, Mark M. Wilde, *Amortized Channel Divergence for Asymptotic Quantum Channel Discrimination*, arXiv:1808.01498, 2018
- Bhatia Rajendra Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, 169, 1997

Bibliography

- CGH18 Hao-Chung Cheng, Li Gao, Min-Hsiu Hsieh, *Properties of Noncommutative Rényi and Augustin Information*, arXiv:1811.04218, 2018
- CCYZ12 Patrick J. Coles, Roger Colbeck, Li Yu and Michael Zwolak, *Uncertainty Relations from Simple Entropic Properties*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 108, 210405, 2012
- CBTW17 Patrick J. Coles, Mario Berta, Marco Tomamichel, Stephanie Wehner, *Entropic uncertainty relations and their applications*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 89, 015002, 2017
- CMW16 Tom Cooney, Milán Mosonyi, Mark M. Wilde, *Strong converse exponents for a quantum channel discrimination problem and quantum-feedback-assisted communication*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 344, 3, 797–829, 2016

Bibliography

- CsK Imre Csiszár and János Körner, *Information theory: coding theorems for discrete memoryless channels*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2011
- Csiszar95 Imre Csiszár, *Generalized Cutoff Rates and Rényi's Information Measures*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 41:1, 26–34 1995
- DW17 Marco Dalai, Andreas Winter, *Constant Compositions in the Sphere Packing Bound for Classical-Quantum Channels*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 63:9, 5603–5617, 2017
- Datta09 Nilanjana Datta, *Min- and Max-Relative Entropies and a New Entanglement Monotone*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 55:6, 2816–2826, 2009
- FL13 Rupert L. Frank and Elliott H. Lieb, *Monotonicity of a relative Rényi entropy*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 54:12, 122201, 2013

- FR15 Omar Fawzi and Renato Renner, *Quantum conditional mutual information and approximate Markov chains*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 340, 575-611, 2015
- Hayashi02 Masahito Hayashi, *Exponents of quantum fixed-length pure state source coding*, Physical Review A, 66, 032321, 2002
- HKMMW02 Masahito Hayashi, Masato Koashi, Keiji Matsumoto, Fumiaki Morikoshi, Andreas Winter, *Error exponents for entanglement concentration*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 36:2, 527, 2002,
- HT16 Masahito Hayashi, Marco Tomamichel, *Correlation detection and an operational interpretation of the Rényi mutual information*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 57, 102201, 2016

Bibliography

- HJPW04 P. Hayden, R. Jozsa, D. Petz and A. Winter, *Structure of states which satisfy strong subadditivity of quantum entropy with equality*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 246, 359–374, 2004
- HP91 Fumio Hiai and Dénes Petz, *The proper formula for relative entropy and its asymptotics in quantum probability*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 143:1, 99-114, 1991
- Hiai10 F. Hiai, *Matrix Analysis: Matrix Monotone Functions, Matrix Means, and Majorization*, Interdisciplinary Information Sciences, 16, 139-248, 2010
- HOW05 Michał Horodecki, Jonathan Oppenheim and Andreas Winter, *Partial quantum information*, Nature, 436, 673–676, 2005
- HOW07 Michał Horodecki, Jonathan Oppenheim and Andreas Winter, *Quantum state merging and negative information*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 269, 1, 107-136, 2007

Bibliography

- IRS17 Raban Iten, Joseph M. Renes, David Sutter, *Pretty Good Measures in Quantum Information Theory*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 63:2, 1270–1279, 2017
- KRS09 Robert König, Renato Renner and Christian Schaffner, *The Operational Meaning of Min- and Max-Entropy*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 55:9, 4337–4347, 2009
- KW09 Robert König and Stephanie Wehner, *A strong converse for classical channel coding using entangled inputs*, Physical Review Letters, 103:7, 070504, 2009
- LS09 Debbie Leung, Graeme Smith, *Continuity of Quantum Channel Capacities*, Commun. Math. Phys., 292, 201–215, 2009
- LR73 Elliott H. Lieb, Mary Beth Ruskai, *A Fundamental Property of Quantum-Mechanical Entropy*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 30, 434, 1973

- MH11 Milán Mosonyi and Fumio Hiai, *On the quantum Rényi relative entropies and related capacity formulas*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 57:4, 2474–2487, 2011
- MO15 Milán Mosonyi and Tomohiro Ogawa, *Quantum hypothesis testing and the operational interpretation of the quantum Rényi relative entropies*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 334:1, 1617–1648, 2015
- MO17 Milán Mosonyi, Tomohiro Ogawa, *Strong converse exponent for classical-quantum channel coding*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 355:1, 373–426, 2017
- MO18 Milán Mosonyi and Tomohiro Ogawa, *Divergence radii and the strong converse exponent of classical-quantum channel coding with constant compositions*, arXiv:1811.10599, 2018

Bibliography

- MLDSzFT13** Martin Müller-Lennert, Frédéric Dupuis, Oleg Szehr, Serge Fehr, Marco Tomamichel, *On quantum Rényi entropies: A new generalization and some properties*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 54:12, 122203, 2013,
- Nagaoka06** Hiroshi Nagaoka, *The converse part of the theorem for Quantum Hoeffding bound*, arXiv:quant-ph/0611289, 2006,
- NC** Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, 2000
- NSz09** Michael Nussbaum, Arleta Szkoła, *The Chernoff Lower Bound for Symmetric Quantum Hypothesis Testing*, The Annals of Statistics, 37, 1040-1057, 2009,
- ON00** Tomohiro Ogawa and Hiroshi Nagaoka, *Strong Converse and Stein's Lemma in Quantum Hypothesis Testing*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 46:7, 2428–2433, 2000

Bibliography

Renner05 Renato Renner, *Security of Quantum Key Distribution*, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich, Diss. ETH No. 16242, 2005

SW13 Naresh Sharma and Naqeeb Ahmad Warsi, *Fundamental Bound on the Reliability of Quantum Information Transmission*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 110, 080501, 2013,

Tomamichel Marco Tomamichel, *Quantum Information Processing with Finite Resources*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics, 2016

Umegaki62 H. Umegaki, *Conditional expectation in an operator algebra*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 14, 59-85, 1962

WWY13 Mark M. Wilde, Andreas Winter, Dong Yang, *Strong converse for the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking and Hadamard channels via a sandwiched Rényi relative entropy*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 331:2, 593–622, 2014

- Winter16 Andreas Winter, *Tight Uniform Continuity Bounds for Quantum Entropies: Conditional Entropy, Relative Entropy Distance and Energy Constraints*, Commun. Math. Phys., 45:7, 291–313, 2016
- YD09 Jon T. Yard, Igor Devetak, *Optimal Quantum Source Coding With Quantum Side Information at the Encoder and Decoder*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 55:11, 5339–5351, 2009
- YKL75 H.P. Yuen and R.S. Kennedy and M. Lax, *Optimum Testing of Multiple Hypotheses in Quantum Detection Theory*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 21:2, 125–134, 1975