


Q1

Did you attend QIP 2017?*

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
104
Unanswered
1

Choice	Total
● YES	96
● NO	8




Your career stage:*

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
104

Unanswered
1




Choice	Total
● Student	32
● Postdoc	20
● Faculty/Staff/Research Scientist	46
● Other	6



I've attended # QIPs

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
104
Unanswered
1



Choice	Total
0	6
1	24
2-3	29
4+	45




How satisfied are you overall with the QIP 2017 conference?*

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
104

Unanswered
1



Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	1
1	5
2	10
3	41
Very satisfied	47


Q5

Overall, how satisfied are you with the scientific program?*

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
104

Unanswered
1



Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	1
1	3
2	14
3	46
Very satisfied	40

Q6


How satisfied are you with the Invited talks?

An invited talk is a talk given by an invited speaker, invited by the Steering Committee.

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
101

Unanswered
4



Choice	Total
● Very unsatisfied	0
● 1	4
● 2	18
● 3	48


● Very satisfied

31

Q7

I want to see (how many) invited talks in the future:

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
103

Unanswered
2

Choice	Total
MORE	12
SAME	84
FEWER	7

Q8

Please suggest possible invited talk topics and speakers for next years' QIPs

ESSAY

July 15, 2017 7:50 PM

Using Bell States for building quantum logic elements. Using room-temperature photonic methods for quantum computing. Talks which are more on the Applied side of things, rather than theory.

July 14, 2017 2:25 PM

Suggestions for invited speakers: Steve Flammia, Matt Hastings, David Poulin, Mike Mosca, David Gross, Leonid Pryadko, Jeongwan Haah, Dan Browne, Pradeep Sarvepalli, Matthias Troyer, Cody Jones, Dorit Aharonov, Hector Bombin, Krysta Svore, Joseph Emerson.

July 12, 2017 10:46 PM

Analog quantum simulation.

July 11, 2017 8:56 PM

quantum computer science topics; quantum software topics; grand challenges in QIP

July 11, 2017 10:54 AM


non-locality in many body systems; quantum thermodynamics



How satisfied are you with the number of Plenary talks?

A plenary talk is a contributed talk, raised to Plenary level by the Program Committee.

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered **102**
Unanswered **3**

Choice	Total
● Very unsatisfied	0
● 1	3
● 2	14


- 3 42
- Very satisfied 43

Q10

I would like to see (how many) Plenary talks on the program in future

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **103**
Unanswered **2**




Choice	Total
MORE	16
SIMILAR NUMBER	81
FEWER	6

Q11

How satisfied are you with the number of Contributed talks?

A contributed talk is a talk submitted to QIP and accepted by the Program Committee.

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
100
Unanswered
5

Choice	Total
● Very unsatisfied	1
● 1	8
● 2	22

● 3	35
● Very satisfied	34


Q12

I would like to see (how many) Contributed talks on the program in future:

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **100**

Unanswered **5**




Choice	Total
● MORE	18
● SIMILAR NUMBER	59
● FEWER	23

Q13

QIP 2017 had 40-minute contributed talks in parallel sessions (including Q&A). Do you think the duration of these talks was:

MULTIPLE CHOICE




Answered
101
Unanswered
4

Choice	Total
● TOO SHORT	2
● JUST RIGHT	62
● TOO LONG	37

Q14

QIP 2017 had 60-minute invited and plenary talks (including Q&A). Do you think the duration of these talks was:

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
101
Unanswered
4

Choice	Total
● TOO SHORT	1
● JUST RIGHT	77
● TOO LONG	23


Q15

How satisfied are you with the process by which contributed talks are selected for QIP?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
97

Unanswered
8



Choice	Total
● Very unsatisfied	2
● 1	12
● 2	22
● 3	34
● Very satisfied	27

Q16

If you have suggestions for improving the process, please enter them here:

ESSAY

July 15, 2017 7:50 PM

Make the process more transparent. Also, could you please publish abstracts of the talks which were rejected?

July 14, 2017 10:31 PM

Slightly fewer contributed talks in order to reduce the number of parallel sessions. I would prefer to only have parallel sessions in a few afternoons. I suggest also cutting all contributed talks to 35 mins and plenary + invited to 50 mins to accommodate as many talks as possible while also not having parallel sessions. Most importantly though, the standard of talks should remain the same, we should not let lower quality talks be accepted just because there are more talk slots (not that I believe this happened this year).

July 14, 2017 2:25 PM

Personally, my favourite talks were all normal contributed talks rather than plenary talks. And I even remember one plenary speaker joking about how he didn't understand why his talk was selected as a plenary. So perhaps the process for promoting contributing talks to plenary talks could have been better, though I don't know how you would adjust the process to achieve this.

July 12, 2017 10:46 PM

Writing the 3-page abstracts is a waste of time. PC members could just as easily look at the long versions of the papers and save the 1000's of hours of work by authors.


July 12, 2017 3:49 PM

Accepted talks should have higher standard, and of broader interest.

Q17

MULTIPLE CHOICE

EXCLUDING contributed talk selection, how satisfied are you with the process by which decisions are made regarding QIP (e.g., location of QIP, charter modifications, invited speakers, etc.)?



Answered
99

Unanswered
6

Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	2
1	5
2	15

● 3	38
● Very satisfied	39

Q18

If you have suggestions for improving the process, please enter them here:

ESSAY

July 15, 2017 7:50 PM

Again, publish abstracts of the talks which were rejected.

July 14, 2017 10:31 PM

I think that given the parameters of having a flexible hall, the organizers did very well. But it worries me how expensive the conference was, given that they estimated to run at a significant loss. I worry that fewer places will be able to host in the future. I suggest keeping the conference format simple by having single-track or limited multi-track (where using a second venue becomes a possibility for an afternoon)

July 12, 2017 10:46 PM

The steering committee is highly undemocratic. It's a bunch of insiders making decisions without accountability. I'd like to see elections or some other community input on who is on this committee.

July 12, 2017 3:49 PM

Consultation outside of the business meeting should be made (like this survey). Consultation only within the business meeting paves the way to many forms of exclusion.


July 11, 2017 8:09 AM

more physicists

Q19

Did you attend one of the poster sessions?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
102


Unanswered
3

Choice	Total
● YES	93
● NO	9

Q20

How satisfied are you with the 2017 Poster session?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
91

Unanswered
14

Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	1
1	2
2	12
3	34
Very satisfied	42


Q21

I would like to see (how many) posters presented in the future:

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **91**

Unanswered **14**




Choice	Total
MORE	15
SIMILAR NUMBER	68
FEWER	8

Q22

Did you attend a parallel session?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
102

Unanswered
3

Choice	Total
● YES	90
● NO	12


Q23

How satisfied are you with the Parallel sessions?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
90

Unanswered
15



Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	7
1	7
2	13
3	31
Very satisfied	32


Q24

I want to see (how many) parallel tracks in the future:

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
88

Unanswered
17



Choice	Total
● MORE	9
● SAME NUMBER	54
● FEWER	25


Q25

Overall, do you think parallel sessions should be implemented at future QIPs?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **90**

Unanswered **15**




Choice	Total
● YES, all contributed talks should be in parallel sessions.	25
● YES, there should be a mix of parallel and non-parallel sessions for contributed talks.	50
● NO, there should not be parallel sessions at QIP.	14

Q26

Did you attend the software demo sessions?
If yes, which one(s)?

MULTIPLE
CHOICE



Answered
103

Unanswered
2


Choice	Total
● YES	35
● NO	68

Q27

How satisfied are you with the Software Demos?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **35**
Unanswered **70**




Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	1
1	4
2	7
3	11
Very satisfied	12

Q28

I like having software demos at the conference:

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
92


Unanswered
13

Choice	Total
● YES	69
● NO	23

Q29

Did you like having vendor and sponsor booths?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
97

Unanswered
8

Choice	Total
● YES	75
● NO	22


Q30

Overall, how satisfied were you with the local organization?*

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
104

Unanswered
1




Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	1
1	1
2	10
3	28
Very satisfied	64

Q31

How satisfied are you with the Venue?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
101

Unanswered
4

Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	0
1	4
2	14
3	29
Very satisfied	54


Q32

I prefer having the conference at

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
92

Unanswered
13




Choice	Total
● Hotel/Conference Center	38
● University setting	42
● Other	12

Q33

I prefer the conference be held later in the year
(Now typically held in January/February)

MULTIPLE
CHOICE



Answered
97

Unanswered
8

Choice	Total
● YES	25
● NO	72


Q34

I think that participation fees for the QIP2017 conference were

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **97**

Unanswered **8**




Choice	Total
● ON THE HIGH END	41
● JUST RIGHT	53
● ON THE LOW END	3

Q35

How satisfied are you with the conference Website?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **100**
Unanswered **5**



Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	2
1	8
2	22
3	33
Very satisfied	35


Q36

How satisfied are you with the Welcome kit?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **95**

Unanswered **10**




Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	3
1	1
2	28
3	22
Very satisfied	41

Q37

Did you attend the banquet dinner?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
102

Unanswered
3

Choice	Total
● YES	85
● NO	17


Q38

How satisfied are you with the Conference banquet dinner?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered **85**

Unanswered **20**




Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	0
1	8
2	20
3	31
Very satisfied	26

Q39

Did you participate in excursion(s)?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
102

Unanswered
3

Choice	Total
● YES	27
● NO	75


Q40

How satisfied are you with the excursion(s)?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
27

Unanswered
78




Choice	Total
Very unsatisfied	0
1	1
2	1
3	6
Very satisfied	19

Q41

Did you attend the rump session?

MULTIPLE CHOICE



Answered
17

Unanswered
88

Choice	Total
● YES	13
● NO	4


Q42

How satisfied are you with the rump session?

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Answered
15

Unanswered
90



Choice	Total
● Very unsatisfied	0
● 1	0
● 2	4
● 3	1
● Very satisfied	10

Q43

ESSAY

Do you have any other suggestions for future QIPs

July 15, 2017 7:50 PM

If it is going to be in January (which works for me), probably Canada, Russia, and Sweden are bad places to hold the meeting. Sydney was very good. I'm not sure how Holland is going to work out.

July 14, 2017 2:25 PM

The most pressing issue at the moment is to prevent QIP from becoming a purely North American conference. The last two years have been in North America and the proposed locations for 2019 and 2020 were also North America. It is good that it will be in Europe this year (2018) but we could potentially have 4 of 5 years in North America over the period 2016-2020. I think this is extremely worrying and should be avoided.

July 12, 2017 6:17 PM

run them all the same as QIP 2017. The Microsoft team that put this together really excelled and this was the best one yet.

July 11, 2017 5:06 PM

No more surveys, please, where after answering "no" to "Did you attend the rum session?", I still have to comment on my level of satisfaction with the rump session. Other than that: many more QIPs!

July 11, 2017 11:55 AM

The rump session was very long this year. Great venue and some very good talks but it was just too long. In general some thought about the purpose and length of the rump session would not go astray.