# Tradeoffs between Quantum Memory and Communication

Hartmut Klauck University of Calgary





# Communication complexity with bounded memory

Motivation: What is the computational power of quantum computation with a limited number of qubits?

# Model A): Quantum communication complexity



Cost of a protocol: number of qubits sent Complexity Q(*f*) : cost of best protocol

#### Model B): Memory bounded quantum circuits

Circuits on S qubits, accessing input as oracle U: unitary op output gate: controlled not to extra qubit Q: query gate:  $|i
angle|a
angle\mapsto|i
angle|a\oplus q(i)
angle$ 



# Model C): Communicating quantum circuits, bounded memory

Quantum circuit in two parts
Separate input oracles
Circuit with *C* qubit wires crossing uses communication *C*Work on S qubits



#### Conventions

Outputs are sent to the other circuit
 Circuits may "drop" qubits and use fresh qubits

#### An Example

DISJ(x,y)=1 iff  $\sum_{i=1...n} x_i \neq y_i > 0$ Grover-like Protocol [BCW98] searches for i with  $x_i = y_i = 1$ Uses O(log n) qubits and O(n<sup>1/2</sup> log n) communication No classical protocol is better than  $\Omega(n)$ [KS87] (independent of space) O(n) with space  $O(\log n)$  possible So does more memory ever help?

#### Functions

Let f:{0,1}<sup>n</sup>£{0,1}<sup>n</sup> α {0,1}
 Then f<sub>l,r</sub> computes on
 {0,1}<sup>n \$ l £ {0,1}<sup>n \$ r</sup>
 f(x,y) for all l \$ r pairs of inputs (Ir outputs)
</sup>



#### Functions

Examples:  $\square IP(x,y) = \bigcirc_{i=1..n} x_i \not = y_i \quad (inner product)$ DISJ(x,y) = 1 iff  $\sum_{i=1...n} x_i \neq y_i > 0$ DISJ<sub>n.n</sub> Boolean matrix product ■ IP<sub>n,n</sub> Matrix product GF(2) Matrix vector product  $\blacksquare$  IP<sub>n,1</sub>

#### **Complexity Notation**

Always allow error 1/3
 C<sub>s</sub>(f) denotes classical communication with space S
 Q<sub>s</sub>(f) denotes quantum communication with space S

#### Results

Inner Product:  $C_{s}(IP_{Lr}) < O(|r n / min{S,|})$  $Q_{s}(IP_{Lr}) > \Omega(I r n / S)$  $C_{S}(IP_{n,n}) = \Theta(n^{3}/S) = \Theta(Q_{S}(IP_{n,n}))$  $C_{S}(IP_{n,1}) = \Theta(n^{2}/S) = \Theta(Q_{S}(IP_{n,1}))$ 

#### Results

More general, f with discrepancy bound d have Q<sub>s</sub>(f<sub>l,r</sub>) > Ω( | r d / S).

Classically: Beame et al. prove lower bounds for universal hash functions

#### What about DISJ?

Disjointness:
 Q<sub>s</sub>(DISJ<sub>l,r</sub>) < Õ (l r n<sup>1/2</sup> / S<sup>1/2</sup>)
 Q<sub>s</sub>(DISJ<sub>n,n</sub>) < Õ(n<sup>2.5</sup> / S<sup>1/2</sup>)
 Q<sub>s</sub>(DISJ<sub>n,1</sub>) < Õ(n<sup>1.5</sup> / S<sup>1/2</sup>)

Even classical lower bound for DISJ<sub>n,n</sub> unknown!, probably O(n<sup>3</sup> / S)



#### Inner product modulo 2

# Inner product, upper bound

- Asume I,r > S
- Solve IP<sub>5,1</sub> and iterate I/S ¢ r times
   To solve IP<sub>5,1</sub> Bob sends S bits of his input, Alice computes partial sums for all S function values
- Iterate n/S times
- Overall complexity I/S ¢ r ¢ S¢ n/S=I r n / S
- Storage S

# Inner product, upper bound

Compute  $\bigcirc_{i=1..S} x^{(j)}_i \not\in y_i$  for all j=1..SCompute  $\bigcirc_{i=1..2S} x^{(j)}_i \not\in y_i$  for all j=1..S

 $X_2$ 

S rows

etc.

for all i-1 S

#### The lower bound

V

f(x,y)

f:{0,1}<sup>n</sup> £ {0,1}<sup>n</sup> α {0,1}
 M<sub>f</sub> is the communication matrix: <sup>x</sup>

Rectangle: product set in the matrix

# The discrepancy bound

 disc(f)=max<sub>R</sub> |μ(R Å f<sup>1</sup>(1))-μ(R Å f<sup>1</sup>(0))| over rectangles R (uniform distribution μ)
 [KY]: Q(f)> Ω(-log disc(f))

- Here:  $Q_s(f_{l,r}) > \Omega(lr \notin -log(disc(f))/S)$ 

# Application

[Chor et al.] disc(IP)<1/2<sup>n/2</sup> - Hence  $Q_{s}$  (IP<sub>L</sub>) >  $\Omega$ (Irn/S) Matrix Product over GF(2) needs communication  $n^3/S$ , Matrix Vector Product needs n<sup>2</sup>/S

#### How to prove it

- Given circuit pair with communication C and space S
- Slice the circuit into segments containing communication d, if disc(f) ¼ 1/2<sup>d</sup>
- Intuitively not enough communication to compute f even once
- Show that each slice can make few outputs, namely O(S)
- Then C/d  $\notin$  S >  $\Omega$ ( | r )

# Slicing the circuit

Show: <O(S) outputs





#### How to prove it

 If each slice has O(S) outputs, then: C/d ¢ O(S) > lr
 Furthermore can assume that S < o(d), since else with C>lr we get C>Ω(lrd/S)

#### The initial information

 Suppose a circuit produces some output with probability p, given some initial state
 on 5 qubits.

Idea: replace p by the totally mixed state.
 Claim: circuit succeeds with probability p/2<sup>s</sup>

Reason: every quantum state "sits" in the totally mixed state with "size" 1/2<sup>5</sup>

#### Why that?

 Totally mixed state is M=diag(1/2<sup>5</sup>,...,1/2<sup>5</sup>)
 For all density matrices ρ there is a density matrix σ so that M=1/2<sup>5</sup>ρ+(1-1/2<sup>5</sup>)σ

#### **Direct Products**

Given communicating quantum circuits with communication d Produce L outputs with success probability  $2/3 \notin 1/2^{s}$ . Show that all such circuits have success probability at most  $1/2^{\Omega(L)}$ Then L=O(S) Need to show this only for L<o(d)</p>

#### **Direct Products**

•  $f_{Lr}$  with disc(f)<1/2<sup>d</sup>. Select L=const  $\notin$  S = o(d) and L<Ir and L outputs for  $f(x_i, y_i)$ Show that success probability of a quantum protocol w/ communication d is  $1/2^{\Omega(L)}$ Hardest case: L=lr (most dependencies)

#### Direct Products

Know that each rectangle in {0,1}<sup>n</sup>£{0,1}<sup>n</sup> contains <sup>1</sup>/2§ 1/2<sup>d</sup> zero-inputs and <sup>1</sup>/2§1/2<sup>d</sup> one-inputs or has size < 1/2<sup>d</sup>

A) Show that rectangles in  $\{0,1\}^{nl} \{0,1\}^{nr}$  contain each of L=2<sup>lr</sup> function values with probability  $1/2^{L} + 1/2^{d/2}$  for L<o(d)

B) Show that each quantum protocol with communication d and correctness 2<sup>-o(L)</sup> induces better rectangles



Rectangle in {0,1}<sup>nl</sup>£{0,1}<sup>nr</sup>
 What is probability of f(x<sub>i</sub>,y<sub>i</sub>)=c<sub>ij</sub> for all i,j and some fixed c<sub>ij</sub>?

Product of conditional probabilities that  $f(x_i, y_j) = c_{ij}$  given previous  $f(x_u, y_v) = c_{uv}$ .



Current input pair: x<sub>i</sub>,y<sub>j</sub>
 Conditions not involving x<sub>i</sub> or y<sub>j</sub>, white
 Conditions involving x<sub>i</sub> or y<sub>i</sub>, red





Fix all x<sub>u</sub>, y<sub>v</sub> other than x<sub>i</sub>, y<sub>j</sub>
Obtain rectangle R in {0,1}<sup>n</sup>£ {0,1}<sup>n</sup>
Case 1: R is smaller than ½<sup>d</sup> All such rectangles can have combined size ½<sup>d</sup> at most (in uniform distribution on {0,1}<sup>ln</sup>£{0,1}<sup>m</sup>)



Other case: R is "large" - Further conditions:  $f(x_i, y_v) = c_{iv}$ (row conditions)  $f(x_u, y_i) = c_{ui}$  (column conditions) ■ Lead to <2<sup>L</sup> disjoint subrectangles Each contains 1/2§ 1/2<sup>d</sup> zeroes/ones Overall R contains 1/2§ 2<sup>L</sup>/2<sup>d</sup> zeroes/ones

# A) fin.

 Hence Prob(f(x<sub>i</sub>,y<sub>j</sub>)=c<sub>ij</sub>)<1/2+2<sup>L</sup>/2<sup>d</sup> < 1/2+2<sup>d/2</sup> for all conditions

 Prob(f(x<sub>i</sub>,y<sub>j</sub>)=c<sub>ij</sub> for all i,j) < (1/2 + 1/2<sup>d/2</sup>)<sup>L</sup> < 1/2<sup>L</sup> + 2/2<sup>d/2</sup>



Given is a quantum protocol with L outputs, communication C and success probability 1/2<sup>L</sup> +p Find a rectangle that contains inputs with  $f(x_i, y_j) = c_{ij}$  in proportion  $1/2^L + p/2^C$ Proof by decomposing protocols into weighted rectangles



#### Disjointness

Disjointness upper bound DISJ(x,y)=1 iff  $\sum_{i=1..n} x_i A x_i > 0$ ■  $Q_{s}(DISJ_{l,r}) < \tilde{O} (l r n^{1/2} / S^{1/2})$  $Q_{s}(DISJ_{n,n}) < \tilde{O}(n^{2.5} / S^{1/2})$  $Q_{s}(DISJ_{n,1}) < \tilde{O}(n^{1.5} / S^{1/2})$ 

### Upper bound

Solve DISJ<sub>S,1</sub> with communication Õ( (nS)<sup>1/2</sup> ) and space S
 Iterate lr/S times, communication Õ(lr/S¢ (nS)<sup>1/2</sup>) = Õ(lr n<sup>1/2</sup>/S<sup>1/2</sup>)

# Protocol for DISJ<sub>S,1</sub>

Alice has sets X<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>s</sub> ; Bob has set y Alice and Bob run a Grover-like protocol on  $z = [x_i and y]$ Find j 2 z Å y Determine all  $x_i$  with j2  $x_i$ , call their union 7 Set <u>z=z-z'</u> and iterate.

#### Protocol

Problem: cannot store z explicitly (size n) Can store array of inputs X<sub>i</sub> for which output is already computed Construct superposition  $\sum_{i:i2} \frac{j}{z}$  from oracle and array During the protocol use the oracle to implement each Grover iteration

# Analysis

• Assume that  $|z \wedge y| = K_1$  in step 1. Then one element in the intersection can be found with O(n<sup>1/2</sup> / K<sub>1</sub><sup>1/2</sup>) Grover iterations All elements can be found with  $\tilde{O}(n^{1/2} \notin K_1^{1/2})$  iterations If K<sub>1</sub> < S then find all with (nS)<sup>1/2</sup> at most If  $K_1 > S$ , then find one element with  $n^{1/2} / S^{1/2}$ at most , at most S iterations Cost always (nS)<sup>1/2</sup>

#### Conclusion

 Have analyzed the effect of a limited number of qubits on the quantum communication complexity

- If the discrepancy bound is good, then quantum does not seem to help
- Matrix product over GF(2): no speedup by quantum
- For Boolean matrix vector product: given upper bound

# **Open Problems**

Lower bounds for DISJ<sub>I,r</sub>, i.e., for Boolean matrix products (even open classically)
 Communication-space tradeoffs for decision problemss